arXiv:1102.4677v4 [math.QA] 5 Feb 2012

CATEGORIFICATION OF HIGHEST WEIGHT MODULES VIA KHOVANOV-LAUDA-ROUQUIER ALGEBRAS

SEOK-JIN KANG [1] AND MASAKI KASHIWARA [2]

Abstract. In this paper, we prove Khovanov-Lauda's cyclotomic categorification conjecture for all symmetrizable Kac-Moody algebras. Let $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ be the quantum group associated with a symmetrizable Cartan datum and let $V(\Lambda)$ be the irreducible highest weight $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module with a dominant integral highest weight Λ. We prove that the cyclotomic Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebra R^Λ gives a categorification of $V(\Lambda)$.

1. Introduction

The *Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras*, a vast generalization of affine Hecke algebras of type A, were introduced independently by Khovanov and Lauda ([10, 11]) and Rouquier ([15]) to provide a *categorification* of quantum groups. Let $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ be the quantum group associated with a symmetrizable Cartan datum and let $R = \bigoplus_{\beta \in Q^+} R(\beta)$ be the corresponding Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebra. Then it was shown in [10, 11, 15] that there exists an algebra isomorphism

$$U_{\mathbf{A}}^-(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq [\mathrm{Proj}(R)] = \bigoplus_{\beta \in Q^+} [\mathrm{Proj}(R(\beta))],$$

where $U_{\mathbf{A}}^-(\mathfrak{g})$ is the integral form of the negative half $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ with $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$, and $[\mathrm{Proj}(R)]$ is the Grothendieck group of the additive category of finitely generated graded projective R-modules. Moreover, when the generalized Cartan matrix is a symmetric matrix, Varagnolo and Vasserot ([17]) and independently Rouquier ([16]) proved that Kashiwara's *lower global basis* or Lusztig's *canonical basis* corresponds to

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05E10, 16G99, 81R10.

Key words and phrases. categorification, Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras, highest weight modules.

[1] This work was supported by KRF Grant # 2007-341-C00001 and NRF Grant # 2010-0010753.

[2] This work was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) 22340005, Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

the isomorphism classes of indecomposable projective R-modules under this isomorphism.

For each dominant integral weight $\Lambda \in P^+$, the algebra R has a special quotient $R^\Lambda = \bigoplus_{\beta \in Q^+} R^\Lambda(\beta)$ which is called the *cyclotomic Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebra*. In [10], Khovanov and Lauda conjectured that $[\mathrm{Proj}(R^\Lambda)]$ has a $U_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathfrak{g})$-module structure and that there exists a $U_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathfrak{g})$-module isomorphism

$$V_{\mathbf{A}}(\Lambda) \simeq [\mathrm{Proj}(R^\Lambda)] = \bigoplus_{\beta \in Q^+} [\mathrm{Proj}(R^\Lambda(\beta))],$$

where $V_{\mathbf{A}}(\Lambda)$ denotes the $U_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathfrak{g})$-module generated by the highest weight vector v_Λ. It is called the *cyclotomic categorification conjecture*. In [3], Brundan and Stroppel proved a special case of this conjecture in finite type A. In [2], Brundan and Kleshchev proved this conjecture for type A_∞ and $A_n^{(1)}$ using the isomorphism between R^Λ and the cyclotomic Hecke algebra H^Λ which was constructed in [1]. The $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-categorification theory developed in [4, 15] also played an important role in their proof. In [13], the crystal version of this conjecture was proved for all symmetrizable Kac-Moody algebras. That is, in [13], Lauda and Vazirani investigated the crystal structure on the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible graded modules over R and R^Λ, and showed that these crystals are isomorphic to the crystals $B(\infty)$ and $B(\Lambda)$, respectively.

In this paper, we prove Khovanov-Lauda's cyclotomic categorification conjecture for *all* symmetrizable Kac-Moody algebras. For $\beta \in Q^+$, let $\mathrm{Mod}(R^\Lambda(\beta))$ be the abelian category of $\mathbf{Z}$-graded $R^\Lambda(\beta)$-modules. For each $i \in I$, let us consider the restriction functor and the induction functor:

$$\begin{aligned} E_i^\Lambda &\colon \mathrm{Mod}(R^\Lambda(\beta+\alpha_i)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Mod}(R^\Lambda(\beta)), \\ F_i^\Lambda &\colon \mathrm{Mod}(R^\Lambda(\beta)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Mod}(R^\Lambda(\beta+\alpha_i)) \end{aligned}$$

defined by

$$\begin{aligned} E_i^\Lambda(N) &= e(\beta,i)N = e(\beta,i)R^\Lambda(\beta+\alpha_i) \otimes_{R^\Lambda(\beta+\alpha_i)} N, \\ F_i^\Lambda(M) &= R^\Lambda(\beta+\alpha_i)e(\beta,i) \otimes_{R^\Lambda(\beta)} M, \end{aligned}$$

where $M \in \mathrm{Mod}(R^\Lambda(\beta))$, $N \in \mathrm{Mod}(R^\Lambda(\beta+\alpha_i))$.

Our first main result is that $R^\Lambda(\beta+\alpha_i)e(\beta,i)$ is a projective right $R^\Lambda(\beta)$-module and $e(\beta,i)R^\Lambda(\beta+\alpha_i)$ is a projective left $R^\Lambda(\beta)$-module (Theorem 4.5). Hence the functors E_i^Λ and F_i^Λ are exact and send projectives to projectives.

Another main result of this paper can be summarized as follows (Theorem 5.2): let $\lambda = \Lambda - \beta$.

(1) If $\langle h_i, \lambda\rangle \geq 0$, there exists a natural isomorphism of endofunctors on $\mathrm{Mod}(R^\Lambda(\beta))$:

$$q_i^{-2} F_i^\Lambda E_i^\Lambda \oplus \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\langle h_i,\lambda\rangle -1} q_i^{2k}\,\mathrm{Id} \xrightarrow{\sim} E_i^\Lambda F_i^\Lambda .$$

(2) If $\langle h_i, \lambda\rangle \leq 0$, there exists a natural isomorphism of endofunctors on $\mathrm{Mod}(R^\Lambda(\beta))$:

$$q_i^{-2} F_i^\Lambda E_i^\Lambda \xrightarrow{\sim} E_i^\Lambda F_i^\Lambda \oplus \bigoplus_{k=0}^{-\langle h_i,\lambda\rangle -1} q_i^{-2k-2}\,\mathrm{Id}\,.$$

Here, $q_i := q^{(\alpha_i,\alpha_i)/2}$ denotes the grade-shift functor defined in (3.10). This is one of the axioms of the categorification of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ due to Chuang-Rouquier [4] and Rouquier [15].

We write $[\mathrm{Rep}(R^\Lambda)]$ for the Grothendieck group of the abelian category $\mathrm{Rep}(R^\Lambda)$ of R^Λ-modules that are finite-dimensional over the base field. It follows that the functors E_i^Λ, F_i^Λ $(i \in I)$ satisfy the mixed relations (Lemma 6.1), and hence by [9, Proposition B.1], the Grothendieck groups $[\mathrm{Proj}(R^\Lambda)]$ and $[\mathrm{Rep}(R^\Lambda)]$ become integrable $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-modules. Therefore, we obtain the categorification of the irreducible highest weight module $V(\Lambda)$ (Theorem 6.2):

$$[\mathrm{Proj}(R^\Lambda)] \simeq V_{\mathbf{A}}(\Lambda) \quad \text{and} \quad [\mathrm{Rep}(R^\Lambda)] \simeq V_{\mathbf{A}}(\Lambda)^\vee,$$

where $V_{\mathbf{A}}(\Lambda)^\vee$ is the dual of $V_{\mathbf{A}}(\Lambda)$ with respect to a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form on $V(\Lambda)$. In other words, we obtain an integrable 2-representation of the 2-Kac-Moody algebra in the sense of Rouquier [15, Definition 5.1].

One of the key ingredients of the proof of these results is a categorification of the equality

$$[e_i, P] = \frac{K_i^{-1} e_i'(P) - K_i e_i''(P)}{q_i^{-1} - q_i} \quad \text{for } P \in U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}) \tag{1.1}$$

used in [6] in the course of constructing the theory of crystal bases. Here e_i' and e_i'' are endomorphisms of $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$. Hence, for the highest weight vector v_Λ of $V(\Lambda)$, we have

$$e_i(Pv_\Lambda) = (q^{-1} - q)^{-1}\Big(q^{(\alpha_i|\Lambda+\mathrm{wt}(P))} e_i'(P)v_\Lambda - q^{-(\alpha_i|\Lambda+\mathrm{wt}(P))} e_i''(P)v_\Lambda\Big).$$

By the categorification, the operator e_i corresponds (after taking the adjoints) to the functor F_i^Λ, while the operators $(q^{-1}-q)^{-1}e_i'$ and $(q^{-1}-q)^{-1}e_i''$ correspond to the functors

$$\begin{aligned} F_i(M) &= M \circ R(\alpha_i) = R(n+1)e(n,i) \otimes_{R(n)} M \quad \text{and} \\ \overline{F}_i(M) &= R(\alpha_i) \circ M = R(n+1)e(i,n) \otimes_{R(n)} M, \text{ respectively.} \end{aligned}$$

Here the convolution functor $\bullet \circ \bullet \colon \mathrm{Mod}(R(m)) \times \mathrm{Mod}(R(n)) \to \mathrm{Mod}(R(m+n))$ is defined by $M \circ N = R(m+n) \otimes_{R(m)\otimes R(n)} (M \otimes N)$. Then the categorification of the identity (1.1) can be interpreted as an exact sequence (see Theorem 4.7)

$$0 \to \overline{F}_i M \to F_i M \to F_i^\Lambda M \to 0 \quad \text{for any } M \in \mathrm{Mod}(R^\Lambda(n)). \tag{1.2}$$

Our main results are consequences of this exact sequence.

In [18], Webster gave a proof of Khovanov-Lauda's cyclotomic categorification conjecture by a completely different method, which is beyond the authors' comprehension.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 and Section 3, we recall basic properties of quantum groups, integrable highest weight modules and the Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebra R. In Section 4, we investigate the structure of cyclotomic Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebra R^Λ and prove the exact sequence (1.2), and then show that the functors E_i^Λ, F_i^Λ $(i \in I)$ are exact and send projectives to projectives. Section 5 is devoted to the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-categorification theory. In Section 6, we finish the proof of Khovanov-Lauda's cyclotomic categorification conjecture.

Acknowledgements. The first author would like to express his sincere gratitude to Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University for their hospitality during his visit in January, 2011. We would also like to thank Se-jin Oh for many helpful discussions. Special thanks should be given to the referees for their valuable suggestions which have greatly improved the exposition of the original manuscript.

2. QUANTUM GROUPS AND HIGHEST WEIGHT MODULES

Let I be a finite index set. An integral square matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j\in I}$ is called a *symmetrizable generalized Cartan matrix* if it satisfies (i) $a_{ii} = 2$ $(i \in I)$, (ii) $a_{ij} \le 0$

$(i \neq j)$, (iii) $a_{ij} = 0$ if $a_{ji} = 0$ $(i, j \in I)$, (iv) there is a diagonal matrix $D = \mathrm{diag}(d_i \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0} \mid i \in I)$ such that DA is symmetric.

A *Cartan datum* $(A, P, \Pi, P^\vee, \Pi^\vee)$ consists of

1. a symmetrizable generalized Cartan matrix A,
2. a free abelian group P of finite rank, called the *weight lattice*,
3. $\Pi = \{\alpha_i \in P \mid \ i \in I\}$, called the set of *simple roots*,
4. $P^\vee := \mathrm{Hom}(P, \mathbf{Z})$, called the *dual weight lattice*,
5. $\Pi^\vee = \{h_i \mid i \in I\} \subset P^\vee$, called the set of *simple coroots*,

satisfying the following properties:

- (i) $\langle h_i, \alpha_j \rangle = a_{ij}$ for all $i, j \in I$,
- (ii) Π is linearly independent,
- (iii) for each $i \in I$, there exists $\Lambda_i \in P$ such that $\langle h_j, \Lambda_i \rangle = \delta_{ij}$ for all $j \in I$.

The Λ_i are called the *fundamental weights*. We denote by

$$P^+ := \{\lambda \in P \mid \langle h_i, \lambda \rangle \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0} \text{ for all } i \in I\}$$

the set of *dominant integral weights*. The free abelian group $Q := \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbf{Z}\alpha_i$ is called the *root lattice*. Set $Q^+ = \sum_{i \in I} \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}\alpha_i$. For $\alpha = \sum k_i \alpha_i \in Q^+$, we define the *height* of $|\alpha|$ to be $|\alpha| = \sum k_i$. Let $\mathfrak{h} = \mathbf{Q} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} P^\vee$. Since A is symmetrizable, there is a symmetric bilinear form $(\ \ |\ \ )$ on $\mathfrak{h}^*$ satisfying

$$(\alpha_i|\alpha_j) = d_i a_{ij} \quad (i, j \in I) \quad \text{and } \langle h_i, \lambda \rangle = \frac{2(\alpha_i|\lambda)}{(\alpha_i|\alpha_i)} \text{ for any } \lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^* \text{ and } i \in I.$$

Let q be an indeterminate and set $q_i = q^{\frac{(\alpha_i|\alpha_i)}{2}}$. Note that $(\alpha_i|\alpha_i) = 2d_i \in 2\mathbf{Z}_{>0}$. For $m, n \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we define

$$[n]_i = \frac{q_i^n - q_i^{-n}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}}, \qquad [n]_i! = \prod_{k=1}^{n} [k]_i \ , \quad \begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix}_i = \frac{[m]_i!}{[m-n]_i! [n]_i!} \ .$$

Definition 2.1. *The* quantum group $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ *associated with a Cartan datum* $(A, P, \Pi, P^\vee, \Pi^\vee)$ *is the associative algebra over* $\mathbf{Q}(q)$ *with* 1 *generated by* e_i, f_i $(i \in I)$ *and* q^h $(h \in P^\vee)$

satisfying the following relations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&q^0 = 1,\ q^h q^{h'} = q^{h+h'} \quad \textit{for } h, h' \in P^\vee,\\
&q^h e_i q^{-h} = q^{\langle h,\alpha_i\rangle} e_i, \quad q^h f_i q^{-h} = q^{-\langle h,\alpha_i\rangle} f_i \quad \textit{for } h \in P^\vee, i \in I,\\
&e_i f_j - f_j e_i = \delta_{ij} \frac{K_i - K_i^{-1}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}}, \quad \textit{where } K_i = q_i^{h_i},\\
&\sum_{r=0}^{1-a_{ij}} \begin{bmatrix} 1-a_{ij} \\ r \end{bmatrix}_i e_i^{1-a_{ij}-r} e_j e_i^r = 0 \quad \textit{if } i \neq j,\\
&\sum_{r=0}^{1-a_{ij}} \begin{bmatrix} 1-a_{ij} \\ r \end{bmatrix}_i f_i^{1-a_{ij}-r} f_j f_i^r = 0 \quad \textit{if } i \neq j.
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.1}
$$

Let $U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$ (resp. $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$) be the subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by e_i's (resp. f_i's), and let $U_q^0(\mathfrak{g})$ be the subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by q^h $(h \in P^\vee)$. Then we have the *triangular decomposition*

$$U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \cong U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U_q^0(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U_q^+(\mathfrak{g}),$$

and the *weight space decomposition*

$$U_q(\mathfrak{g}) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in Q} U_q(\mathfrak{g})_\alpha,$$

where $U_q(\mathfrak{g})_\alpha := \{x \in U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \mid q^h x q^{-h} = q^{\langle h,\alpha\rangle} x \text{ for any } h \in P^\vee\}$.

Let $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$ and set

$$e_i^{(n)} = e_i^n/[n]_i!, \quad f_i^{(n)} = f_i^n/[n]_i! \ \ (n \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}).$$

We define the $\mathbf{A}$-form $U_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathfrak{g})$ to be the $\mathbf{A}$-subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by $e_i^{(n)}$, $f_i^{(n)}$ $(i \in I, n \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0})$, q^h $(h \in P^\vee)$. Let $U_{\mathbf{A}}^+(\mathfrak{g})$ (resp. $U_{\mathbf{A}}^-(\mathfrak{g})$) be the $\mathbf{A}$-subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by $e_i^{(n)}$ (resp. $f_i^{(n)}$) for $i \in I$, $n \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Definition 2.2.

(a) *A $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module M is called a* weight module *if it has a weight space decomposition*

$$M = \bigoplus_{\mu \in P} M_\mu, \ \textit{where } M_\mu := \left\{v \in M \mid q^h v = q^{\mu(h)} v \ \ \textit{for all } h \in P^\vee\right\}.$$

(b) *A weight module M is called* integrable *if the actions of e_i and f_i on M are locally nilpotent for any $i \in I$; i.e., for each $s \in M$ there exists a positive integer m such that $e_i^m s = f_i^m s = 0$ for any $i \in I$.*

(c) *A weight module V is called a* highest weight module with highest weight $\Lambda \in P$ *if there exists a non-zero vector $v_\Lambda \in V$ such that*
  (i) $e_i v_\Lambda = 0$ *for all* $i \in I$,
  (ii) $q^h v_\Lambda = q^{\langle h, \Lambda \rangle} v_\Lambda$ *for all* $h \in P^\vee$,
  (iii) $V = U_q(\mathfrak{g}) v_\Lambda$.

For each $\Lambda \in P$, there exists a unique irreducible highest weight module $V(\Lambda)$ with highest weight Λ.

Proposition 2.3 ([5, 14])**.** *Let $\Lambda \in P^+$.*

(a) *If V is an integrable highest weight module with highest weight Λ, then V is isomorphic to $V(\Lambda)$.*
(b) *The highest weight vector v_Λ in $V(\Lambda)$ satisfies the following relations:*

$$f_i^{\langle h_i, \Lambda \rangle + 1} v_\Lambda = 0 \quad \text{for all } i \in I. \tag{2.2}$$

Consider the anti-involution ϕ on $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ defined by

$$q^h \mapsto q^h, \quad e_i \mapsto f_i, \quad f_i \mapsto e_i.$$

By standard arguments, one can show that there exists a unique non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form $(\ ,\ )$ on $V(\Lambda)$ with $\Lambda \in P^+$ satisfying

$$(v_\Lambda, v_\Lambda) = 1 \text{ and } (au, v) = (u, \phi(a)v) \text{ for all } a \in U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \text{ and } u, v \in V(\Lambda). \tag{2.3}$$

We define the $\mathbf{A}$-*form* $V_{\mathbf{A}}(\Lambda)$ of $V(\Lambda)$ to be

$$V_{\mathbf{A}}(\Lambda) = U_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathfrak{g}) v_\Lambda.$$

The *dual* of $V_{\mathbf{A}}(\Lambda)$ is defined to be

$$V_{\mathbf{A}}(\Lambda)^\vee = \{ v \in V(\Lambda) \mid (u, v) \in \mathbf{A} \text{ for all } u \in V_{\mathbf{A}}(\Lambda) \}.$$

We have $V_{\mathbf{A}}(\Lambda)^\vee_\lambda \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(V_{\mathbf{A}}(\Lambda)_\lambda, \mathbf{A})$ for any $\lambda \in P$.

3. The Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebra

Let $(A, P, \Pi, P^\vee, \Pi^\vee)$ be a Cartan datum. In this section, we recall the construction of Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebra R associated with $(A, P, \Pi, P^\vee, \Pi^\vee)$ and investigate its properties. We take as a base ring a graded commutative ring $\mathbf{k} = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{k}_n$ such that $\mathbf{k}_n = 0$ for any $n < 0$. Let us take a matrix $(Q_{ij})_{i,j\in I}$ in $\mathbf{k}[u,v]$ such that $Q_{ij}(u,v) = Q_{ji}(v,u)$ and $Q_{ij}(u,v)$ has the form

$$Q_{ij}(u,v) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = j, \\ \sum\limits_{p,q\ge 0} t_{i,j;p,q} u^p v^q & \text{if } i \neq j, \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

where $t_{i,j;p,q} \in \mathbf{k}_{-2(\alpha_i|\alpha_j)-(\alpha_i|\alpha_i)p-(\alpha_j|\alpha_j)q}$ and $t_{i,j;-a_{ij},0} \in \mathbf{k}_0^{\times}$. In particular, we have $t_{i,j;p,q} = 0$ if $(\alpha_i|\alpha_i)p + (\alpha_j|\alpha_j)q > -2(\alpha_i|\alpha_j)$. Note that $t_{i,j;p,q} = t_{j,i;q,p}$.

We denote by $S_n = \langle s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}\rangle$ the symmetric group on n letters, where $s_i = (i, i+1)$ is the transposition. Then S_n acts on I^n.

Definition 3.1 ([10, 15]). *The* Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebra $R(n)$ *of degree* n *associated with a Cartan datum* $(A, P, \Pi, P^\vee, \Pi^\vee)$ *and* $(Q_{ij})_{i,j\in I}$ *is the associative algebra over* $\mathbf{k}$ *generated by* $e(\nu)$ $(\nu \in I^n)$, x_k $(1 \le k \le n)$, τ_l $(1 \le l \le n-1)$ *satisfying the following defining relations:*

$$\begin{aligned}
& e(\nu)e(\nu') = \delta_{\nu,\nu'} e(\nu), \quad \sum_{\nu\in I^n} e(\nu) = 1, \\
& x_k x_l = x_l x_k, \quad x_k e(\nu) = e(\nu) x_k, \\
& \tau_l e(\nu) = e(s_l(\nu))\tau_l, \quad \tau_k\tau_l = \tau_l\tau_k \quad \textit{if } |k-l| > 1, \\
& \tau_k^2 e(\nu) = Q_{\nu_k,\nu_{k+1}}(x_k, x_{k+1}) e(\nu),
\end{aligned}$$

$$(\tau_k x_l - x_{s_k(l)}\tau_k)e(\nu) = \begin{cases} -e(\nu) & \textit{if } l = k, \nu_k = \nu_{k+1}, \\ e(\nu) & \textit{if } l = k+1, \nu_k = \nu_{k+1}, \\ 0 & \textit{otherwise}, \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\tau_{k+1}\tau_k\tau_{k+1} - \tau_k\tau_{k+1}\tau_k)e(\nu) \\
& \qquad = \begin{cases} \dfrac{Q_{\nu_k,\nu_{k+1}}(x_k, x_{k+1}) - Q_{\nu_{k+2},\nu_{k+1}}(x_{k+2}, x_{k+1})}{x_k - x_{k+2}} e(\nu) & \textit{if } \nu_k = \nu_{k+2}, \\ 0 & \textit{otherwise.} \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, $R(0) \simeq \mathbf{k}$, and $R(1)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{k}^I[x_1]$, where $\mathbf{k}^I = \oplus_{i\in I}\mathbf{k}e(i)$ is the direct sum of the copies $\mathbf{k}e(i)$ of the algebra $\mathbf{k}$.

Note that $R(n)$ has an anti-involution ψ that fixes the generators x_k, τ_l and $e(\nu)$.

The $\mathbf{Z}$-grading on $R(n)$ is given by

$$\deg e(\nu) = 0, \quad \deg\ x_k e(\nu) = (\alpha_{\nu_k}|\alpha_{\nu_k}), \quad \deg\ \tau_l e(\nu) = -(\alpha_{\nu_l}|\alpha_{\nu_{l+1}}). \tag{3.3}$$

For $a, b, c \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, we define the following elements of $R(n)$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
& e_{a,b} = \sum_{\nu \in I^n,\, \nu_a = \nu_b} e(\nu), \\
& Q_{a,b} = \sum_{\nu \in I^n} Q_{\nu_a,\nu_b}(x_a, x_b) e(\nu), \\
& \overline{Q}_{a,b,c} = \sum_{\nu \in I^n,\, \nu_a = \nu_c} \frac{Q_{\nu_a,\nu_b}(x_a, x_b) - Q_{\nu_a,\nu_b}(x_c, x_b)}{x_a - x_c} e(\nu) \quad \text{if } a \neq c.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& Q_{a,b} = Q_{b,a}, \quad \tau_a^2 = Q_{a,a+1}, \\
& \tau_{a+1}\tau_a\tau_{a+1} = \tau_a\tau_{a+1}\tau_a + \overline{Q}_{a,a+1,a+2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

We define the operators $\partial_{a,b}$ on $\bigoplus_{\nu \in I^n} \mathbf{k}[x_1, \ldots, x_n] e(\nu)$ by

$$\partial_{a,b} f = \frac{s_{a,b} f - f}{x_a - x_b} e_{a,b}, \quad \partial_a = \partial_{a,a+1}, \tag{3.6}$$

where $s_{a,b} = (a, b) \in S_n$ is the transposition acting on $\bigoplus_{\nu \in I^n} \mathbf{k}[x_1, \ldots, x_n] e(\nu)$.

Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \overline{Q}_{a,b,c} = -\partial_{a,c} Q_{a,b} = \partial_{a,c} Q_{b,c}, \\
& \tau_a e_{b,c} = e_{s_a(b), s_a(c)} \tau_a, \\
& \tau_a f - (s_a f)\tau_a = f\tau_a - \tau_a(s_a f) = (\partial_a f) e_{a,a+1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

For $n \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $\beta \in Q^+$ such that $|\beta| = n$, we set

$$I^\beta = \{\nu = (\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_n) \in I^n \mid \alpha_{\nu_1} + \cdots + \alpha_{\nu_n} = \beta\}.$$

We define

$$\begin{aligned}
& R(m, n) = R(m) \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} R(n), \\
& e(n) = \sum_{\nu \in I^n} e(\nu), \quad e(\beta) = \sum_{\nu \in I^\beta} e(\nu), \\
& R(\beta) = R(n) e(\beta) = \bigoplus_{\nu \in I^\beta} R(n) e(\nu), \\
& e(n, i) = \sum_{\nu \in I^{n+1},\, \nu_{n+1} = i} e(\nu) \in R(n+1), \quad e(i, n) = \sum_{\nu \in I^{n+1},\, \nu_1 = i} e(\nu) \in R(n+1), \\
& e(\beta, i) = \sum_{\nu \in I^{\beta+\alpha_i},\, \nu_{n+1} = i} e(\nu) \in R(\beta + \alpha_i), \quad e(i, \beta) = \sum_{\nu \in I^{\beta+\alpha_i},\, \nu_1 = i} e(\nu) \in R(\beta + \alpha_i).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

The algebra $R(\beta)$ is called the *Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebra at β.*

Hereafter we will use $\otimes$ instead of $\otimes_{\mathbf{k}}$ for the sake of simplicity. By the embedding

$$R(m,n) = R(m) \otimes R(n) \hookrightarrow R(m+n) \qquad (a \otimes b \longmapsto ab),$$

we regard $R(m,n)$ as a subalgebra of $R(m+n)$. For an $R(m)$-module M and an $R(n)$-module N, we define their *convolution product* $M \circ N$ by

$$M \circ N := R(m+n) \otimes_{R(m)\otimes R(n)} (M \otimes N). \tag{3.9}$$

Since $R(m+n)$ is a flat module over $R(m)\otimes R(n)$ ([10, Proposition 2.16]), the bifunctor $(M,N) \longmapsto M \circ N$ is exact in M and in N.

Proposition 3.2 ([10, Proposition 2.16])**.** *We have a decomposition*

$$R(n+1) = \bigoplus_{a=1}^{n+1} R(n,1)\tau_n \cdots \tau_a = \bigoplus_{a=1}^{n+1} R(n) \otimes \mathbf{k}^I[x_{n+1}]\tau_n \cdots \tau_a$$

as $R(n,1)$-modules. Here, when $a = n+1$, we understand $R(n,1)\tau_n \cdots \tau_a = R(n,1)$. In particular, $R(n+1)$ is a free $R(n,1)$-module of rank $n+1$.

Sketch of Proof. Our assertion follows from the right coset decomposition of S_{n+1}:

$$S_{n+1} = \coprod_{a=1}^{n+1} S_n s_n \cdots s_a.$$

□

Proposition 3.3. *The $(R(n), R(n))$-bimodule homomorphism*

$$R(n) \otimes_{R(n-1)} R(n) \longrightarrow R(n+1)$$

given by

$$x \otimes y \longmapsto x\tau_n y \quad (x, y \in R(n))$$

is well-defined. Moreover, together with the $(R(n), R(n))$-bimodule embedding $R(n,1) \hookrightarrow R(n+1)$, it induces an isomorphism of $(R(n), R(n))$-bimodules

$$R(n) \otimes_{R(n-1)} R(n) \oplus R(n,1) \xrightarrow{\sim} R(n+1).$$

Proof. The homomorphism $R(n) \otimes_{R(n-1)} R(n) \longrightarrow R(n+1)$ is well-defined since τ_n commutes with $R(n-1)$. It induces a homomorphism

$$\psi\colon R(n) \otimes_{R(n-1)} R(n) \to R(n+1)/R(n,1)$$

and it is enough to show that ψ is an isomorphism.

Since $R(n) = \bigoplus_{a=1}^{n} \tau_a \cdots \tau_{n-1}\mathbf{k}^I[x_n] \otimes R(n-1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} R(n) \otimes_{R(n-1)} R(n) &= \bigoplus_{a=1}^{n} \big(\tau_a \cdots \tau_{n-1}\mathbf{k}^I[x_n] \otimes R(n-1)\big) \otimes_{R(n-1)} R(n) \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{a=1}^{n} \tau_a \cdots \tau_{n-1}\mathbf{k}^I[x_n] \otimes R(n), \\ R(n+1)/R(n,1) &= \frac{\bigoplus_{a=1}^{n+1} \tau_a \cdots \tau_n \mathbf{k}^I[x_{n+1}] \otimes R(n)}{\mathbf{k}^I[x_{n+1}] \otimes R(n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.7), one can verify for $f(x_n) \in \mathbf{k}^I[x_n]$, $y \in R(n)$ and $1 \le a \le n$

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_a \cdots \tau_{n-1} f(x_n) \tau_n y &= \tau_a \cdots \tau_{n-1}(\tau_n f(x_{n+1}) + \partial_n f(x_n))y \\ &= \tau_a \cdots \tau_{n-1}\tau_n f(x_{n+1})y + \tau_a \cdots \tau_{n-1}\partial_n f(x_n)y \\ &\equiv \tau_a \cdots \tau_n f(x_{n+1})y \mod R(n,1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence ψ is an isomorphism. ☐

As an immediate corollary, we obtain:

Corollary 3.4. *There exists a natural isomorphism*

$$\begin{aligned} &e(n,i)R(n+1)e(n,j) \\ &\simeq \begin{cases} q^{-(\alpha_i|\alpha_j)} R(n)e(n-1,j) \otimes_{R(n-1)} e(n-1,i)R(n) & \text{if } i \neq j, \\ q^{-(\alpha_i|\alpha_i)} R(n)e(n-1,i) \otimes_{R(n-1)} e(n-1,i)R(n) \oplus e(n,i)R(n,1)\, e(n,i) & \text{if } i = j. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Here, q is the grade-shift functor (see (3.10) below).

Proof. Disregarding the grading, we have by Proposition 3.3

$$\begin{aligned} e(n,i)R(n+1)e(n,j) &\cong e(n,i)\,\big(R(n) \otimes_{R(n-1)} R(n) \oplus R(n,1)\big)\, e(n,j) \\ &= R(n)e(n-1,j) \otimes_{R(n-1)} e(n-1,i)R(n) \ \oplus \ e(n,i)R(n,1)e(n,j). \end{aligned}$$

Our assertion then follows immediately from $e(n,i)R(n,1)e(n,j) = 0$ for $i \neq j$. ☐

For $\beta \in Q^+$, let $\mathrm{Mod}(R(\beta))$ denote the abelian category of $\mathbf{Z}$-graded $R(\beta)$-modules. Let q denote the grade-shift functor on $\mathrm{Mod}(R(\beta))$: for a $\mathbf{Z}$-graded $R(\beta)$-module $M = \bigoplus_{k\in\mathbf{Z}} M_k$, we define $qM = \bigoplus_{k\in\mathbf{Z}} (qM)_k$ by

$$(qM)_k = M_{k-1} \quad (k \in \mathbf{Z}). \tag{3.10}$$

and we sometimes use the notation $q_i := q^{(\alpha_i|\alpha_i)/2}$. Thus if M is concentrated at degree k, then qM is concentrated at degree $k+1$.

Remark 3.5. Let M be a graded $\mathbf{k}[x]$-module, where x is homogeneous of degree a. Then the multiplication by x is a morphism $q^aM \xrightarrow{x} M$. It can be understood as a degree preserving map $q^as \longmapsto xs$ $(s \in M)$ by assigning degree 1 to q.

In general, for associative algebras A and B, an (A,B)-bimodule K induces a functor $\Phi_K\colon \mathrm{Mod}(B) \to \mathrm{Mod}(A)$ given by $N \longmapsto K \otimes_B N$. In this case, we say that K is the *kernel* of Φ_K. Note that $\Phi_K(B) = K \otimes_B B \simeq K$, and hence the kernel is uniquely determined by the functor Φ_K.

For each $i \in I$, we define the functors

$$\begin{aligned} E_i\colon\ & \mathrm{Mod}(R(\beta+\alpha_i)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Mod}(R(\beta)),\\ F_i\colon\ & \mathrm{Mod}(R(\beta)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Mod}(R(\beta+\alpha_i)) \end{aligned}$$

by

$$\begin{aligned} E_i(N) &= e(\beta,i)N \simeq e(\beta,i)R(\beta+\alpha_i) \otimes_{R(\beta+\alpha_i)} N\\ &\qquad\qquad \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{R(\beta+\alpha_i)}\big(R(\beta+\alpha_i)e(\beta,i), N\big),\\ F_i(M) &= M \circ R(\alpha_i) = R(\beta+\alpha_i)e(\beta,i) \otimes_{R(\beta)} M \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

for $M \in \mathrm{Mod}(R(\beta))$ and $N \in \mathrm{Mod}(R(\beta+\alpha_i))$.

By [10, Proposition 2.16] (see also Proposition 3.2), both E_i and F_i are exact functors. Moreover, F_i and E_i are left and right adjoint to each other. That is, for $M \in \mathrm{Mod}(R(\beta))$ and $N \in \mathrm{Mod}(R(\beta+\alpha_i))$, there exists a natural isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{R(\beta+\alpha_i)}(F_i(M), N) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{R(\beta)}(M, E_i(N)).$$

Hence we obtain adjunction transformations: the counit $\varepsilon\colon F_i \circ E_i \longrightarrow \mathrm{Id}$ and the unit $\eta\colon \mathrm{Id} \longrightarrow E_i \circ F_i$. We define the natural transformations

$$x_{E_i}\colon E_i \to E_i \quad \text{and} \quad x_{F_i}\colon F_i \to F_i$$

as follows:

(a) x_{E_i} is given by the left multiplication by x_{n+1} on $e(\beta,i)N$ for $N \in \mathrm{Mod}(R(\beta+\alpha_i))$,

(b) x_{F_i} is given by the right multiplication by x_{n+1} on the kernel $R(\beta+\alpha_i)e(\beta,i)$ of the functor F_i, where $n = |\beta|$.

Then we obtain the following commutative diagram:

(3.12)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Hom}_{R(\beta+\alpha_i)}(F_i(M),N) \arrow[r, "\sim"] \arrow[d, "x_{F_i}"] & \mathrm{Hom}_{R(\beta)}(M,E_i(N)) \arrow[d, "x_{E_i}"] \\
\mathrm{Hom}_{R(\beta+\alpha_i)}(F_i(M),N) \arrow[r, "\sim"] & \mathrm{Hom}_{R(\beta)}(M,E_i(N))\,.
\end{tikzcd}$$

The main properties of the functors E_i and F_i are given in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.6. *There exist natural isomorphisms*

$$E_iF_j \xrightarrow{\sim} \begin{cases} q^{-(\alpha_i|\alpha_j)}F_jE_i & \text{if } i\neq j,\\ q^{-(\alpha_i|\alpha_i)}F_iE_i \oplus \mathrm{Id}\otimes\mathbf{k}[t_i] & \text{if } i=j,\end{cases}$$

where t_i is an indeterminate of degree $(\alpha_i|\alpha_i)$ and $\mathrm{Id}\otimes\mathbf{k}[t_i]\colon \mathrm{Mod}(R(\beta))\to\mathrm{Mod}(R(\beta))$ is the functor sending M to $M\otimes\mathbf{k}[t_i]$.

Proof. Our assertion is an immediate consequence of Corollary 3.4. ☐

Let $\xi_n\colon R(n)\to R(n+1)$ be the algebra homomorphism given by

(3.13)
$$\begin{aligned}
\xi_n(x_k) &= x_{k+1} \quad (1\le k\le n),\\
\xi_n(\tau_l) &= \tau_{l+1} \quad (1\le l\le n-1),\\
\xi_n(e(\nu)) &= \textstyle\sum_{i\in I} e(i,\nu) \quad (\nu\in I^n).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $R^1(n)$ be the image of ξ_n. Then $R^1(n)$ is the subalgebra of $R(n+1)$ generated by $x_2,\dots,x_{n+1}$, $\tau_2,\dots,\tau_n$ and $\xi_n(e(\nu))$ $(\nu\in I^n)$, which is isomorphic to $R(n)$.

Proposition 3.7. *The $(R(n),R^1(n))$-bimodule homomorphism*

(3.14)
$$R(n)\otimes_{R^1(n-1)} R^1(n) \longrightarrow R(n+1) \quad \text{given by } x\otimes y\longmapsto xy.$$

is well-defined. This homomorphism is injective and its image $R(n)R^1(n)$ has decompositions

$$R(n)R^1(n) = \bigoplus_{a=2}^{n+1} R(n,1)\tau_n\cdots\tau_a = \bigoplus_{a=0}^{n-1} \tau_a\cdots\tau_1 R(1,n).$$

Proof. It is obvious that (3.14) is a well-defined morphism of $(R(n),R^1(n))$-bimodules. By Proposition 3.2, we have the decomposition

$$R^1(n) = \bigoplus_{a=2}^{n+1} R^1(n-1)\otimes\mathbf{k}^I[x_{n+1}]\tau_n\cdots\tau_a.$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}R(n)\otimes_{R^1(n-1)}R^1(n)&=R(n)\otimes_{R^1(n-1)}\Big(\bigoplus_{a=2}^{n+1}R^1(n-1)\otimes\mathbf{k}^I[x_{n+1}]\tau_n\cdots\tau_a\Big)\\&\cong\bigoplus_{a=2}^{n+1}R(n)\otimes\mathbf{k}^I[x_{n+1}]\tau_n\cdots\tau_a=\bigoplus_{a=2}^{n+1}R(n,1)\tau_n\cdots\tau_a.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$R(n+1)=\bigoplus_{a=0}^{n}\tau_a\cdots\tau_1R(1,n)$$

and

$$R(n)\otimes_{R^1(n-1)}R^1(n)\cong\bigoplus_{a=0}^{n-1}\tau_a\cdots\tau_1R(1,n).$$

Now our assertions follow immediately. □

By Proposition 3.7, there exists a map $\varphi_1\colon R(n+1)\to R(n)\otimes\mathbf{k}^I[x_{n+1}]$ given by

$$\begin{aligned}R(n+1)&\to\operatorname{Coker}\big(R(n)\otimes_{R^1(n-1)}R^1(n)\to R(n+1)\big)\\&\cong\frac{\bigoplus_{a=1}^{n+1}R(n,1)\tau_n\cdots\tau_a}{\bigoplus_{a=2}^{n+1}R(n,1)\tau_n\cdots\tau_1}\xleftarrow{\sim}R(n,1)\tau_n\cdots\tau_1\\&\xleftarrow{\sim}R(n)\otimes\mathbf{k}^I[x_{n+1}].\end{aligned}\tag{3.15}$$

Similarly, there is another map $\varphi_2\colon R(n+1)\to\mathbf{k}^I[x_1]\otimes R^1(n)$ given by

$$\begin{aligned}R(n+1)&\to\operatorname{Coker}\big(R(n)\otimes_{R^1(n-1)}R^1(n)\to R(n+1)\big)\\&\cong\frac{\bigoplus_{a=0}^{n}\tau_a\cdots\tau_1R(1,n)}{\bigoplus_{a=0}^{n-1}\tau_a\cdots\tau_1R(1,n)}\xleftarrow{\sim}\tau_n\cdots\tau_1R(1,n)\\&\xleftarrow{\sim}\mathbf{k}^I[x_1]\otimes R^1(n).\end{aligned}\tag{3.16}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}&x_k\tau_n\cdots\tau_1\equiv\tau_n\cdots\tau_1x_{k+1}\ \ (1\le k\le n),\\&\tau_l\tau_n\cdots\tau_1\equiv\tau_n\cdots\tau_1\tau_{l+1}\ \ (1\le l\le n-1),\\&x_{n+1}\tau_n\cdots\tau_1\equiv\tau_n\cdots\tau_1x_1\qquad\mod R(n)R^1(n).\end{aligned}$$

We shall identify two algebras $R(n)\otimes\mathbf{k}^I[x_{n+1}]$ and $\mathbf{k}^I[x_1]\otimes R^1(n)$, and write

$$R(n)\otimes\mathbf{k}^I[x_{n+1}]=\mathbf{k}^I[x_1]\otimes R^1(n)=R(n)\otimes\mathbf{k}^I[t]$$

for some indeterminate t. Then for any $a \otimes f(t) \in R(n) \otimes \mathbf{k}^I[t]$, we have

$$af(x_{n+1})\tau_n \cdots \tau_1 \equiv \tau_n \cdots \tau_1 \, \xi_n(a) \otimes f(x_1) \mod R(n)R^1(n).$$

Hence φ_1 and φ_2 coincide and we obtain:

Corollary 3.8. *There is an exact sequence of* $(R(n), R(n))$*-bimodules*

$$0 \longrightarrow R(n) \otimes_{R^1(n-1)} R^1(n) \longrightarrow R(n+1) \xrightarrow{\varphi} R(n) \otimes \mathbf{k}[t] \longrightarrow 0,$$

where the map φ *is given by* (3.15) *or* (3.16)*. Here, the right* $R(n)$*-module structure on* $R(n+1)$ *is given by the embedding* $\xi_n \colon R(n) \xrightarrow{\sim} R^1(n) \hookrightarrow R(n+1)$*. Moreover, both the left multiplication by* x_{n+1} *and the right multiplication by* x_1 *on* $R(n+1)$ *are compatible with the multiplication by* t *on* $R(n) \otimes \mathbf{k}[t]$*.*

Proof. By the construction of φ, our assertions follow immediately. □

For each $i \in I$, we define the functor

$$\overline{F}_i \colon \operatorname{Mod}(R(\beta)) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Mod}(R(\beta + \alpha_i))$$

by

$$\overline{F}_i(M) = R(\alpha_i) \circ M = R(\beta + \alpha_i)e(i, \beta) \otimes_{R(\beta)} M, \tag{3.17}$$

where the right $R(\beta)$-module structure on $R(\beta + \alpha_i)e(i, \beta)$ is given by the embedding

$$R(\beta) \xrightarrow{\sim} R^1(\beta) \hookrightarrow R(\beta + \alpha_i).$$

Theorem 3.9.

(a) *There exists a natural isomorphism*

$$\overline{F}_j E_i \xrightarrow{\sim} E_i \overline{F}_j \quad \textit{for } i \neq j.$$

(b) *We have an exact sequence in* $\operatorname{Mod}(R(\beta))$:

$$0 \to \overline{F}_i E_i M \longrightarrow E_i \overline{F}_i M \longrightarrow q^{-(\alpha_i|\beta)} M \otimes \mathbf{k}[t_i] \to 0$$

which is functorial in $M \in \operatorname{Mod}(R(\beta))$*. Here* t_i *is an indeterminate of degree* $(\alpha_i|\alpha_i)$*.*

Proof. The first assertion follows from

$$e(\beta, i)\bigl(R(n,1)\tau_n \cdots \tau_1\bigr)e(j, \beta) = 0 \quad \text{for } i \neq j \text{ (see (3.15))}.$$

(b) is an immediate consequence of Corollary 3.8. □

4. The cyclotomic Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras

Let $\Lambda \in P^+$ be a dominant integral weight. In this section, we study the structure of the cyclotomic Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebra R^Λ and the functors E_i^Λ, F_i^Λ defined on the category of R^Λ-modules.

4.1. Definition of cyclotomic Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras.

For $\Lambda \in P^+$ and $i \in I$, choose a monic polynomial of degree $\langle h_i, \Lambda\rangle$

$$a_i^\Lambda(u) = \sum_{k=0}^{\langle h_i,\Lambda\rangle} c_{i;k} u^{\langle h_i,\Lambda\rangle - k} \tag{4.1}$$

with $c_{i;k} \in \mathbf{k}_{k(\alpha_i|\alpha_i)}$ and $c_{i;0} = 1$.

For $1 \le k \le n$, we define

$$a^\Lambda(x_k) = \sum_{\nu \in I^n} a_{\nu_k}^\Lambda(x_k) e(\nu) \in R(n). \tag{4.2}$$

Hence $a^\Lambda(x_k)e(\nu)$ is a homogeneous element of $R(n)$ with degree $2(\alpha_{\nu_k}|\Lambda)$.

Definition 4.1. *The* cyclotomic Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebra $R^\Lambda(\beta)$ at β *is defined to be the quotient algebra*

$$R^\Lambda(\beta) = \frac{R(\beta)}{R(\beta)a^\Lambda(x_1)R(\beta)}.$$

Here, we understand $R^\Lambda(\beta) = \mathbf{k}$ *for* $\beta = 0$.

For each $n \ge 0$, we also define

$$R^\Lambda(n) = \frac{R(n)}{R(n)a^\Lambda(x_1)R(n)} \cong \bigoplus_{|\beta|=n} R^\Lambda(\beta). \tag{4.3}$$

Then we may write

$$R^\Lambda(\beta) = R^\Lambda(n)e(\beta), \quad \text{where} \quad e(\beta) = \sum_{\nu \in I^\beta} e(\nu).$$

Now we will prove that $R^\Lambda(n)$ is a finitely generated $\mathbf{k}$-module (cf. [10, 2]).

Lemma 4.2. *Let* M *be an* $R(n)$*-module,* $f \in \mathbf{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ *and* $\nu \in I^n$ *such that* $\nu_{n-1} = \nu_n$*. Then* $fe(\nu)M = 0$ *implies* $(\partial_{n-1} f)e(\nu)M = 0$ *and* $(s_{n-1} f)e(\nu)M = 0$.

Proof. The equality

$$\begin{aligned}(x_{n-1}-x_n)\tau_{n-1}f\tau_{n-1}e(\nu) &= (x_{n-1}-x_n)\big((s_{n-1}f)\tau_{n-1}+\partial_{n-1}f(x)\big)\tau_{n-1}e(\nu)\\ &= (s_{n-1}f-f)\tau_{n-1}e(\nu)=(\tau_{n-1}f-\partial_{n-1}f-f\tau_{n-1})e(\nu)\end{aligned}$$

implies $(\partial_{n-1}f)e(\nu)M=0$. The last equality follows from

$$(x_{n-1}-x_n)\partial_{n-1}f=s_{n-1}f-f.$$

□

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\beta\in Q^+$ with $|\beta|=n$ and $i\in I$.*

(a) *There exists a monic polynomial $g(u)$ with coefficients in $\mathbf{k}$ such that $g(x_a)=0$ in $R^\Lambda(\beta)$ for any a $(1\le a\le n)$.*

(b) *There exists m such that $R^\Lambda(\beta+k\alpha_i)=0$ for any $k\ge m$.*

Proof. (a) By induction on a, it is enough to show that

(4.4) for an $R(n)$-module M and a monic polynomial $g(u)\in\mathbf{k}[u]$ such that $g(x_a)M=0$, there exists a monic polynomial $h(u)\in\mathbf{k}[u]$ such that $h(x_{a+1})M=0$.

We shall prove that, for any $\nu\in I^n$, we can find a monic polynomial $h(u)\in\mathbf{k}[u]$ such that $h(x_{a+1})e(\nu)M=0$.

(i) If $\nu_a\neq\nu_{a+1}$, then we have

$$g(x_{a+1})Q_{\nu_a,\nu_{a+1}}(x_a,x_{a+1})e(\nu)M=g(x_{a+1})\tau_a^2e(\nu)M=\tau_ag(x_a)\tau_ae(\nu)M=0.$$

Since $Q_{\nu_a,\nu_{a+1}}(x_a,x_{a+1})$ is a monic polynomial in x_{a+1} with coefficients in $\mathbf{k}[x_a]$ (up to an invertible constant multiple), there exists a monic polynomial $h(x_{a+1})$ such that

$$h(x_{a+1})\in\mathbf{k}[x_a,x_{a+1}]g(x_a)+\mathbf{k}[x_a,x_{a+1}]g(x_{a+1})Q_{\nu_a,\nu_{a+1}}(x_a,x_{a+1}).$$

Then $h(x_{a+1})e(\nu)M=0$.

(ii) The case $\nu_a=\nu_{a+1}$ immediately follows from Lemma 4.2.

(b) For $\nu\in I^n$, we set $\mathrm{Supp}_i(\nu):=\#\{k\mid 1\le k\le n \text{ and } \nu_k=i\}$, and $\nu_{\le m}:=(\nu_1,\dots,\nu_m)$, $\nu_{\ge m}:=(\nu_m,\dots,\nu_n)$ for $1\le m\le n$.

In order to see (b), it is enough to show

(4.5) for a given Λ and n, there exists $m>0$ such that $e(\nu)R^\Lambda(n+m)=0$ for any $\nu\in I^{n+m}$ with $\mathrm{Supp}_i(\nu)\ge m$.

In order to prove this we first show the following:

$$\text{for a given } \Lambda \text{ and } n, \text{ there exists } m>0 \text{ such that } e(\nu)R^\Lambda(n+m)=0 \text{ for any } \nu\in I^{n+m} \text{ with } \nu_a=i\ (n<a\le n+m). \tag{4.6}$$

By (a), there exists a monic polynomial $g(u)$ of degree $m\ge 0$ such that $g(x_n)R^\Lambda(n)=0$. Hence $g(x_n)R^\Lambda(n+k)=0$ for any $k\ge 0$. The repeated application of Lemma 4.2 implies

$$\big(\partial_{n+m-1}\cdots\partial_n g(x_n)\big)e(\nu)R^\Lambda(n+k)=0 \quad \text{for } k\ge m.$$

Since $\partial_{n+m-1}\cdots\partial_n g(x_n)=\pm 1$, we obtain $e(\nu)R^\Lambda(n+k)=0$ for $k\ge m$. Thus we obtain (4.6).

Now we shall show (4.5) by induction on n. If $n=0$, then (4.5) immediately follows from (4.6). Assume $n>0$. By the induction hypothesis, there exists $k>0$ such that

$$e(\nu)R^\Lambda(n-1+k)=0 \text{ for any } \nu\in I^{n-1+k} \text{ with } \mathrm{Supp}_i(\nu)\ge k. \tag{4.7}$$

Applying (4.6) to $n-1+k$, there exists m such that

$$e(\nu)R^\Lambda(n-1+k+m)=0 \text{ if } \nu\in I^{n-1+k+m} \text{ satisfies } \nu_a=i \text{ for any } a \text{ such that } n-1+k<a\le n-1+k+m. \tag{4.8}$$

Now let $\nu\in I^{n-1+k+m}$ such that $\mathrm{Supp}_i(\nu)\ge -1+k+m$. We shall show $e(\nu)R^\Lambda(n-1+k+m)=0$. If $\mathrm{Supp}_i(\nu_{\le n-1+k})\ge k$, then (4.7) implies $e(\nu)R^\Lambda(n-1+k+m)=0$. If $\mathrm{Supp}_i(\nu_{\le n-1+k})\le k-1$, then $\mathrm{Supp}_i(\nu_{\ge n+k})=\mathrm{Supp}_i(\nu)-\mathrm{Supp}_i(\nu_{\le n-1+k})\ge(-1+k+m)-(k-1)=m$, which implies that $\nu_a=i$ for $n+k\le a\le n-1+k+m$. Hence (4.8) implies $e(\nu)R^\Lambda(n-1+k+m)=0$. ☐

Corollary 4.4. *For any $\beta\in Q^+$, $R^\Lambda(\beta)$ is a finitely generated* $\mathbf{k}$*-module.*

4.2. Exactness of F_i^Λ.

For each $i\in I$, we define the functors

$$E_i^\Lambda\colon \mathrm{Mod}(R^\Lambda(\beta+\alpha_i))\longrightarrow \mathrm{Mod}(R^\Lambda(\beta)),$$
$$F_i^\Lambda\colon \mathrm{Mod}(R^\Lambda(\beta))\longrightarrow \mathrm{Mod}(R^\Lambda(\beta+\alpha_i))$$

by

$$\begin{aligned} E_i^\Lambda(N)&=e(\beta,i)N=e(\beta,i)R^\Lambda(\beta+\alpha_i)\otimes_{R^\Lambda(\beta+\alpha_i)}N,\\ F_i^\Lambda(M)&=R^\Lambda(\beta+\alpha_i)e(\beta,i)\otimes_{R^\Lambda(\beta)}M,\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

where $M\in\mathrm{Mod}(R^\Lambda(\beta))$, $N\in\mathrm{Mod}(R^\Lambda(\beta+\alpha_i))$.

The purpose of this section is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 4.5. *The module $R^\Lambda(\beta+\alpha_i)e(\beta,i)$ is a projective right $R^\Lambda(\beta)$-module. Similarly, $e(\beta,i)R^\Lambda(\beta+\alpha_i)$ is a projective left $R^\Lambda(\beta)$-module.*

Of course, the second statement is a consequence of the first by the anti-involutions of Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras. As its immediate consequence, we have

Corollary 4.6.

- (i) *The functor E_i^Λ sends finitely generated projective modules to finitely generated projective modules.*
- (ii) *The functor F_i^Λ is exact.*

We shall prove Theorem 4.5 as a consequence of the following theorem.

Theorem 4.7. *For any $i \in I$ and $\beta \in Q^+$, there exists an exact sequence of $R(\beta+\alpha_i)$-modules*

$$0 \longrightarrow q^{(\alpha_i|2\Lambda-\beta)}\overline{F}_i M \longrightarrow F_i M \longrightarrow F_i^\Lambda M \longrightarrow 0 \tag{4.10}$$

which is functorial in $M \in \operatorname{Mod}(R^\Lambda(\beta))$.

4.3. **Proof of Theorem 4.5 and Theorem 4.7.** Set

$$\begin{aligned} F^\Lambda &= R^\Lambda(\beta+\alpha_i)e(\beta,i) = \frac{R(\beta+\alpha_i)e(\beta,i)}{R(\beta+\alpha_i)a^\Lambda(x_1)R(\beta+\alpha_i)e(\beta,i)}, \\ K_0 &= R(\beta+\alpha_i)e(\beta,i)\otimes_{R(\beta)} R^\Lambda(\beta) = \frac{R(\beta+\alpha_i)e(\beta,i)}{R(\beta+\alpha_i)a^\Lambda(x_1)R(\beta)e(\beta,i)}, \\ K_1 &= R(\beta+\alpha_i)e(i,\beta)\otimes_{R(\beta)} R^\Lambda(\beta) = \frac{R(\beta+\alpha_i)e(i,\beta)}{R(\beta+\alpha_i)a^\Lambda(x_2)R^1(\beta)e(i,\beta)}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

We regard $R(\beta+\alpha_i)e(i,\beta)$ as a right $R(\beta)$-module through the embedding

$$R(\beta) \xrightarrow{\sim} R^1(\beta) \hookrightarrow R(\beta+\alpha_i),$$

which defines a right $R^\Lambda(\beta)$-module structure on K_1. Hence F^Λ, K_0 and K_1 can be regarded as $\big(R(\beta+\alpha_i), R^\Lambda(\beta)\big)$-bimodules. Then F^Λ, K_0 and K_1 are the kernels of the functors F_i^Λ, F_i and $\overline{F}_i$ from $\operatorname{Mod}(R^\Lambda(\beta))$ to $\operatorname{Mod}(R(\beta+\alpha_i))$, respectively.

Let t_i be an indeterminate of degree $(\alpha_i|\alpha_i)$. Then, $\mathbf{k}[t_i]$ acts from the right on $R(\beta+\alpha_i)e(i,\beta)$ and K_1 by $t_i = x_1 e(i,\beta)$. Hence $R(\beta+\alpha_i)e(i,\beta)$ and K_1 have a structure of $(R(\beta+\alpha_i), R(\beta)\otimes\mathbf{k}[t_i])$-bimodule. Similarly, $\mathbf{k}[t_i]$ acts from the right on K_0 and F^Λ by $t_i = x_{n+1}e(\beta,i)$, and K_0 and F^Λ also have a structure of $(R(\beta+\alpha_i), R(\beta)\otimes\mathbf{k}[t_i])$-bimodule. Note that F^Λ, K_1 and K_0 are in fact $(R(\beta+\alpha_i), R^\Lambda(\beta)\otimes\mathbf{k}[t_i])$-bimodules.

Lemma 4.8 ([10])**.** *Both K_1 and K_0 are finitely generated projective right $\big(R^\Lambda(\beta)\otimes \mathbf{k}[t_i]\big)$-modules.*

Proof. The statement for K_0 follows from $K_0 = R(\beta+\alpha_i)e(\beta,i)\otimes_{R(\beta)\otimes\mathbf{k}[t_i]}\big(R^\Lambda(\beta)\otimes \mathbf{k}[t_i]\big)$ and the fact that $R(\beta+\alpha_i)e(\beta,i)$ is a finitely generated projective module over $R(\beta)\otimes\mathbf{k}[t_i]$ (Proposition 3.2). The proof for K_1 is similar. ☐

Lemma 4.9. *For $i\in I$ and $\beta\in Q^+$ with $|\beta|=n$, we have*

(a) $R(\beta+\alpha_i)a^\Lambda(x_1)R(\beta+\alpha_i)=\sum_{a=0}^{n}R(\beta+\alpha_i)a^\Lambda(x_1)\tau_1\cdots\tau_a$.

(b) $$R(\beta+\alpha_i)a^\Lambda(x_1)R(\beta+\alpha_i)e(\beta,i)=R(\beta+\alpha_i)a^\Lambda(x_1)R(\beta)e(\beta,i) +R(\beta+\alpha_i)a^\Lambda(x_1)\tau_1\cdots\tau_n e(\beta,i).$$

Proof. The assertion (a) can be verified easily from

$$R(n+1)=\sum_{a=0}^{n}\mathbf{k}^I[x_1]\otimes R^1(n)\tau_1\cdots\tau_a.$$

The assertion (b) follows immediately from (a). ☐

Let $\pi\colon K_0\longrightarrow F^\Lambda$ be the canonical projection. We will construct a short exact sequence of $(R(\beta+\alpha_i),R^\Lambda(\beta)\otimes\mathbf{k}[t_i])$-bimodules

$$0\longrightarrow K_1\xrightarrow{P}K_0\xrightarrow{\pi}F^\Lambda\longrightarrow 0.$$

Let $\widetilde{P}\colon R(\beta+\alpha_i)e(i,\beta)\longrightarrow K_0$ be the right multiplication by $a^\Lambda(x_1)\tau_1\cdots\tau_n$. Then $\widetilde{P}$ is a left $R(\beta+\alpha_i)$-linear homomorphism.

Using (4.11) and Lemma 4.9, we see that

$$\operatorname{Im}(\widetilde{P})=\operatorname{Ker}\pi=\frac{R(\beta+\alpha_i)a^\Lambda(x_1)R(\beta+\alpha_i)e(\beta,i)}{R(\beta+\alpha_i)a^\Lambda(x_1)R(\beta)e(\beta,i)}\subset K_0. \tag{4.12}$$

Lemma 4.10. *The map $\widetilde{P}\colon R(\beta+\alpha_i)e(i,\beta)\longrightarrow K_0$ is a right $(R(\beta)\otimes\mathbf{k}[t_i])$-module homomorphism.*

Proof. For $1\le a\le n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
x_{a+1}\big(a^\Lambda(x_1)\tau_1\cdots\tau_n e(\beta,i)\big)&= a^\Lambda(x_1)\tau_1\cdots\tau_{a-1}(x_{a+1}\tau_a)\tau_{a+1}\cdots\tau_n e(\beta,i)\\
&=a^\Lambda(x_1)\tau_1\cdots\tau_{a-1}(\tau_a x_a+e_{a,a+1})\tau_{a+1}\cdots\tau_n e(\beta,i)\\
&=\big(a^\Lambda(x_1)\tau_1\cdots\tau_n e(\beta,i)\big)x_a+(a^\Lambda(x_1)\tau_1\cdots\tau_{a-1}\tau_{a+1}\cdots\tau_n)e_{a,n+1}e(\beta,i)\\
&=\big(a^\Lambda(x_1)\tau_1\cdots\tau_n e(\beta,i)\big)x_a+(\tau_{a+1}\cdots\tau_n)(a^\Lambda(x_1)\tau_1\cdots\tau_{a-1})e_{a,n+1}e(\beta,i)\\
&\equiv\big(a^\Lambda(x_1)\tau_1\cdots\tau_n e(\beta,i)\big)x_a \mod R(\beta+\alpha_i)a^\Lambda(x_1)R(\beta)e(\beta,i),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
x_1\big(a^\Lambda(x_1)\tau_1\cdots\tau_n e(\beta,i)\big) &= a^\Lambda(x_1)(\tau_1 x_2 - e_{1,2})\tau_2\cdots\tau_n e(\beta,i)\\
&= a^\Lambda(x_1)\tau_1(x_2\tau_2)\tau_3\cdots\tau_n e(\beta,i) - (\tau_2\cdots\tau_n)a^\Lambda(x_1)e_{1,n+1}e(\beta,i)\\
&\equiv a^\Lambda(x_1)\tau_1(x_2\tau_2)\tau_3\cdots\tau_n e(\beta,i) = a^\Lambda(x_1)\tau_1(\tau_2 x_3 - e_{2,3})\tau_3\cdots\tau_n e(\beta,i)\\
&= a^\Lambda(x_1)\tau_1\tau_2(x_3\tau_3)\tau_4\cdots\tau_n e(\beta,i) - (\tau_3\cdots\tau_n)a^\Lambda(x_1)\tau_1 e_{2,n+1}e(\beta,i)\\
&\quad\cdots\cdots\\
&\equiv (a^\Lambda(x_1)\tau_1\cdots\tau_n)x_{n+1}e(\beta,i) \mod R(\beta+\alpha_i)a^\Lambda(x_1)R(\beta)e(\beta,i).
\end{aligned}$$

For $1 \le a \le n-1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tau_{a+1}\big(a^\Lambda(x_1)\tau_1\cdots\tau_n e(\beta,i)\big) = a^\Lambda(x_1)\tau_1\cdots\tau_{a-1}(\tau_{a+1}\tau_a\tau_{a+1})\tau_{a+2}\cdots\tau_n e(\beta,i)\\
&= a^\Lambda(x_1)\tau_1\cdots\tau_{a-1}\big(\tau_a\tau_{a+1}\tau_a + \overline{Q}_{a,a+1,a+2}\big)\tau_{a+2}\cdots\tau_n e(\beta,i)\\
&= \big(a^\Lambda(x_1)\tau_1\cdots\tau_{a-1}\tau_a\tau_{a+1}\cdots\tau_n e(\beta,i)\big)\tau_a + a^\Lambda(x_1)\tau_1\cdots\tau_{a-1}\overline{Q}_{a,a+1,a+2}\tau_{a+2}\cdots\tau_n e(\beta,i).
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
&a^\Lambda(x_1)\tau_1\cdots\tau_{a-1}\mathbf{k}[x_1,\ldots,x_{n+1}]\tau_{a+2}\cdots\tau_n e(\beta,i)\\
&\qquad\subset a^\Lambda(x_1)\tau_1\cdots\tau_{a-1}\sum_{w\in\langle s_{a+2},\ldots,s_n\rangle}\tau_w\mathbf{k}[x_1,\ldots,x_{n+1}]e(\beta,i)\\
&\qquad\subset \sum_{w\in\langle s_{a+2},\ldots,s_n\rangle}\tau_w a^\Lambda(x_1)\tau_1\cdots\tau_{a-1}\mathbf{k}[x_1,\ldots,x_{n+1}]e(\beta,i)\\
&\qquad\subset \sum_{w\in\langle s_{a+2},\ldots,s_n\rangle}\tau_w\mathbf{k}[x_{n+1}]a^\Lambda(x_1)\tau_1\cdots\tau_{a-1}\mathbf{k}[x_1,\ldots,x_n]e(\beta,i)\\
&\qquad\subset R(\beta+\alpha_i)a^\Lambda(x_1)R(\beta)e(\beta,i),
\end{aligned}$$

we are done. □

Since $\widetilde{P}$ is $\big(R(\beta+\alpha_i), R(\beta)\otimes\mathbf{k}[t_i]\big)$-bilinear, it maps $R(\beta+\alpha_i)a^\Lambda(x_2)R^1(\beta)e(i,\beta)$ to $\dfrac{R(\beta+\alpha_i)a^\Lambda(x_1)R(\beta)e(\beta,i)}{R(\beta+\alpha_i)a^\Lambda(x_1)R(\beta)e(\beta,i)} = 0$ in K_0. Hence we get a $(R(\beta+\alpha_i), R(\beta)\otimes\mathbf{k}[t_i])$-bilinear homomorphism

$$P\colon K_1 \to K_0$$

given by the right multiplication by $a^\Lambda(x_1)\tau_1\cdots\tau_n$. Moreover, (4.12) implies $\operatorname{Im} P = \operatorname{Ker}\pi$. Therefore we get an exact sequence of $(R(\beta+\alpha_i), R(\beta)\otimes\mathbf{k}[t_i])$-bimodules

$$K_1 \xrightarrow{P} K_0 \xrightarrow{\pi} F^\Lambda \longrightarrow 0. \tag{4.13}$$

We will show next that P is injective by constructing a homomorphism $Q\colon K_0 \to K_1$ nearly inverse to P.

For $1 \le a \le n$, we define an element g_a of $R(\beta+\alpha_i)$ by

$$g_a = \tau_a \sum_{\substack{\nu\in I^{\beta+\alpha_i},\\ \nu_a\neq\nu_{a+1}}} e(\nu) + \big(x_{a+1} - x_a - (x_{a+1}-x_a)^2\tau_a\big) \sum_{\substack{\nu\in I^{\beta+\alpha_i},\\ \nu_a=\nu_{a+1}}} e(\nu) \in R(\beta+\alpha_i). \tag{4.14}$$

Remark 4.11. The elements

$$\big(1+(x_a-x_{a+1})\tau_a\big)e_{a,a+1} = \big(\tau_a(x_{a+1}-x_a)-1\big)e_{a,a+1}$$

are called the *intertwiners*. Note that they satisfy the same identities as (4.15) given below. The elements g_a's are variants of intertwiners.

Lemma 4.12. *For $1 \le a \le n$, we have*

$$x_{s_a(b)}g_a = g_a x_b \ (1 \le b \le n+1) \quad \textit{and} \quad \tau_a g_{a+1} g_a = g_{a+1} g_a \tau_{a+1}. \tag{4.15}$$

Proof. We will first show $x_a g_a e(\nu) = g_a x_{a+1} e(\nu)$. If $\nu_a \neq \nu_{a+1}$, our assertion is clear. If $\nu_a = \nu_{a+1}$, then we have

$$(x_a g_a - g_a x_{a+1})e(\nu) = \big((x_{a+1}-x_a)(x_a-x_{a+1}) + (x_{a+1}-x_a)^2\big)e(\nu) = 0.$$

Similarly, we have $x_{a+1}g_a = g_a x_a$, and $x_b g_a = g_a x_b$ for $b \neq a, a+1$.

In order to prove the last identity, set $S = \tau_a g_{a+1} g_a - g_{a+1} g_a \tau_{a+1}$. We have by (3.7)

$$\begin{aligned}
(\tau_a g_{a+1} g_a)x_a &= \tau_a g_{a+1} x_{a+1} g_a = \tau_a x_{a+2} g_{a+1} g_a = x_{a+2}\tau_a g_{a+1} g_a,\\
(g_{a+1} g_a \tau_{a+1})x_a &= g_{a+1} g_a x_a \tau_{a+1} = g_{a+1} x_{a+1} g_a \tau_{a+1} = x_{a+2} g_{a+1} g_a \tau_{a+1},\\
(\tau_a g_{a+1} g_a)x_{a+1} &= \tau_a g_{a+1} x_a g_a = \tau_a x_a g_{a+1} g_a = (x_{a+1}\tau_a - e_{a,a+1})g_{a+1} g_a\\
&= x_{a+1}\tau_a g_{a+1} g_a - g_{a+1} g_a e_{a+1,a+2},\\
(g_{a+1} g_a \tau_{a+1})x_{a+1} &= g_{a+1} g_a (x_{a+2}\tau_{a+1} - e_{a+1,a+2})\\
&= x_{a+1} g_{a+1} g_a \tau_{a+1} - g_{a+1} g_a e_{a+1,a+2},\\
(\tau_a g_{a+1} g_a)x_{a+2} &= \tau_a x_{a+1} g_{a+1} g_a = (x_a\tau_a + e_{a,a+1})g_{a+1} g_a\\
&= x_a \tau_a g_{a+1} g_a + g_{a+1} g_a e_{a+1,a+2},\\
(g_{a+1} g_a \tau_{a+1})x_{a+2} &= g_{a+1} g_a (x_{a+1}\tau_{a+1} + e_{a+1,a+2})\\
&= x_a g_{a+1} g_a \tau_{a+1} + g_{a+1} g_a e_{a+1,a+2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $S x_b = x_{s_{a,a+2}(b)} S$ for all b.

On the other hand, we can easily see that S does not contain the term $\tau_a\tau_{a+1}\tau_a$ and belongs to the $\mathbf{k}[x_a, x_{a+1}, x_{a+2}]$-module generated by 1, τ_a, τ_{a+1}, $\tau_a\tau_{a+1}$ and $\tau_{a+1}\tau_a$. It follows that $S = 0$. $\square$

Proposition 4.13. *Let* $\widetilde{Q}\colon R(\beta+\alpha_i)e(\beta,i) \longrightarrow K_1$ *be the* $R(\beta+\alpha_i)$*-module homomorphism given by the right multiplication by* $g_n \cdots g_1$*. Then* $\widetilde{Q}$ *is right* $R(\beta)\otimes\mathbf{k}[t_i]$*-linear. That is,*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\widetilde{Q}(sx_a) = \widetilde{Q}(s)x_{a+1}\ (1 \le a \le n),\\
&\widetilde{Q}(sx_{n+1}) = \widetilde{Q}(s)x_1,\\
&\widetilde{Q}(s\tau_a) = \widetilde{Q}(s)\tau_{a+1}\ (1 \le a < n)
\end{aligned}$$

for any $s \in R(\beta+\alpha_i)e(\beta,i)$*.*

Proof. It follows immediately from the preceding lemma. $\square$

Proposition 4.14. *The map* $\widetilde{Q}$ *induces a well-defined* $(R(\beta+\alpha_i), R(\beta)\otimes\mathbf{k}[t_i])$*-bimodule homomorphism*

$$Q\colon K_0 = \frac{R(\beta+\alpha_i)e(\beta,i)}{R(\beta+\alpha_i)a^{\Lambda}(x_1)R(\beta)e(\beta,i)} \longrightarrow K_1 = \frac{R(\beta+\alpha_i)e(i,\beta)}{R(\beta+\alpha_i)a^{\Lambda}(x_2)R^1(\beta)e(i,\beta)}$$

given by the right multiplication by $g_n \cdots g_1$*.*

Proof. Since

$$R(\beta+\alpha_i)a^{\Lambda}(x_1)R(\beta) = \bigoplus_{a=0}^{n-1} R(\beta+\alpha_i)a^{\Lambda}(x_1)\tau_1\cdots\tau_a,$$

it suffices to show that $\widetilde{Q}$ sends $a^{\Lambda}(x_1)\tau_1\cdots\tau_a e(\beta,i)$ $(0 \le a \le n-1)$ to 0 in K_1. However, Lemma 4.12 implies $\widetilde{Q}\big(a^{\Lambda}(x_1)\tau_1\cdots\tau_a e(\beta,i)\big) = \widetilde{Q}\big(e(\beta,i)\big)a^{\Lambda}(x_2)\tau_2\cdots\tau_{a+1} = 0$. $\square$

Theorem 4.15. *For each* $\nu \in I^{\beta}$*, set*

$$A_\nu = a_i^{\Lambda}(x_1)\prod_{1\le a\le n, \nu_a\ne i} Q_{i,\nu_a}(x_1, x_{a+1}).$$

Then the following diagram is commutative, in which the vertical arrow is the multiplication by A_ν *from the right.*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\dfrac{R(\beta+\alpha_i)e(i,\nu)}{R(\beta+\alpha_i)a^\Lambda(x_2)R^1(\beta)e(i,\nu)} \arrow[r, "P=a^\Lambda(x_1)\tau_1\cdots\tau_n"] \arrow[d, "A_\nu"] & \dfrac{R(\beta+\alpha_i)e(\nu,i)}{R(\beta+\alpha_i)a^\Lambda(x_1)R(\beta)e(\nu,i)} \arrow[dl, "Q=g_n\cdots g_1"] \\
\dfrac{R(\beta+\alpha_i)e(i,\nu)}{R(\beta+\alpha_i)a^\Lambda(x_2)R^1(\beta)e(i,\nu)} &
\end{tikzcd}\tag{4.16}$$

Proof. We will verify

$$\begin{aligned}
a^\Lambda(x_1)\tau_1\cdots\tau_n g_n\cdots g_1 e(i,\nu) &= a^\Lambda(x_1)\tau_1\cdots\tau_n e(\nu,i) g_n\cdots g_1 \\
&\equiv A_\nu e(i,\nu) \quad \mathrm{mod}\ R(\beta+\alpha_i)a^\Lambda(x_2)R^1(\beta)e(i,\nu)
\end{aligned}\tag{4.17}$$

by induction on n. In the course of the proof, we use the fact that

$$R(\beta+\alpha_i)a^\Lambda(x_2)R^1(\beta)e(i,\beta) \text{ is a right } \mathbf{k}[x_1,\ldots,x_{n+1}]\text{-module.}\tag{4.18}$$

Note that

$$\tau_n e(\nu,i) g_n = \begin{cases} \tau_n e(\nu,i)\tau_n = Q_{i,\nu_n}(x_n,x_{n+1})e(\nu',i,\nu_n) & \text{if } \nu_n \neq i, \\[2ex] \tau_n\big((x_{n+1}-x_n)-(x_{n+1}-x_n)^2\tau_n\big)e(\nu,i) & \\ \qquad\qquad = \tau_n(x_{n+1}-x_n)e(\nu,i) & \text{if } \nu_n = i, \end{cases}\tag{4.19}$$

where $\nu' = (\nu_1,\cdots,\nu_{n-1})$.

Assume first $n=1$. If $\nu_1 \neq i$, then (4.17) is obvious by (4.19). If $\nu_1 = i$, then $a^\Lambda(x_1)\tau_1 e(\nu,i)g_1 = a^\Lambda(x_1)\tau_1(x_2-x_1)e(i,i)$. Then by Lemma 4.12, it is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
&a^\Lambda(x_1)(\tau_1(x_2-x_1)-1)e(i,i) + a^\Lambda(x_1)e(i,i) \\
&= (\tau_1(x_2-x_1)-1)a^\Lambda(x_2)e(i,i) + a^\Lambda(x_1)e(i,i) \\
&\equiv A_\nu e(i,i) \quad \mathrm{mod}\ R(\beta+\alpha_i)a^\Lambda(x_2)R^1(\beta)e(i,i).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we may assume that $n > 1$.

(i) First assume $\nu_n \neq i$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
a^\Lambda(x_1)\tau_1\cdots\tau_n g_n\cdots g_1 e(i,\nu) &= a^\Lambda(x_1)\tau_1\cdots\tau_{n-1}Q_{i,\nu_n}(x_n,x_{n+1})g_{n-1}\cdots g_1 e(i,\nu) \\
&= a^\Lambda(x_1)\tau_1\cdots\tau_{n-1}g_{n-1}\cdots g_1 e(i,\nu)Q_{i,\nu_n}(x_1,x_{n+1}).
\end{aligned}$$

By the induction hypothesis, we have

$$a^{\Lambda}(x_1)\tau_1\cdots\tau_{n-1}g_{n-1}\cdots g_1 e(i,\nu)\equiv A_{\nu'}e(i,\nu)\quad \mathrm{mod}\ R(\beta+\alpha_i)a^{\Lambda}(x_2)R^1(\beta)e(i,\nu).$$

Hence (4.18) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}&a^{\Lambda}(x_1)\tau_1\cdots\tau_{n-1}g_{n-1}\cdots g_1 e(i,\nu)Q_{i,\nu_n}(x_1,x_{n+1})\\&\qquad\equiv A_{\nu'}e(i,\nu)Q_{i,\nu_n}(x_1,x_{n+1})\quad \mathrm{mod}\ R(\beta+\alpha_i)a^{\Lambda}(x_2)R^1(\beta)e(i,\nu).\end{aligned}$$

Then the desired assertion follows from $A_{\nu'}Q_{i,\nu_n}(x_1,x_{n+1})e(i,\nu)=A_{\nu}e(i,\nu)$.
(ii) Now assume $\nu_n=i$. Set $\nu''=(\nu_1,\ldots,\nu_{n-2})$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}a^{\Lambda}(x_1)\tau_1\cdots\tau_n g_n\cdots g_1 e(i,\nu)&=a^{\Lambda}(x_1)\tau_1\cdots\tau_n(x_{n+1}-x_n)g_{n-1}\cdots g_1 e(i,\nu)\\&=a^{\Lambda}(x_1)\tau_1\cdots\tau_n e(\nu'',\nu_{n-1},i,i)g_{n-1}\cdots g_1(x_{n+1}-x_1).\end{aligned}\tag{4.20}$$

(a) If $\nu_{n-1}\neq i$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_{n-1}\tau_n g_{n-1}e(\nu'',i,\nu_{n-1},i)&=\tau_{n-1}\tau_n\tau_{n-1}e(\nu'',i,\nu_{n-1},i)\\&=(\tau_n\tau_{n-1}\tau_n-\overline{Q}_{n-1,n,n+1})e(\nu'',i,\nu_{n-1},i).\end{aligned}$$

(See (3.5).) Hence

$$\begin{aligned}&a^{\Lambda}(x_1)\tau_1\cdots\tau_n g_n\cdots g_1 e(i,\nu)\\&=a^{\Lambda}(x_1)\tau_1\cdots\tau_{n-2}(\tau_n\tau_{n-1}\tau_n-\overline{Q}_{n-1,n,n+1})e(\nu'',i,\nu_{n-1},i)g_{n-2}\cdots g_1(x_{n+1}-x_1)\\&=\tau_n a^{\Lambda}(x_1)\tau_1\cdots\tau_{n-1}e(\nu'',i,i,\nu_{n-1})g_{n-2}\cdots g_1\tau_n(x_{n+1}-x_1)\\&\quad-a^{\Lambda}(x_1)\tau_1\cdots\tau_{n-2}g_{n-2}\cdots g_1\overline{Q}_{1,n,n+1}(x_{n+1}-x_1)e(i,\nu).\end{aligned}\tag{4.21}$$

The first term is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}&\tau_n a^{\Lambda}(x_1)\tau_1\cdots\tau_{n-1}e(\nu'',i,i,\nu_{n-1})g_{n-2}\cdots g_1\tau_n(x_{n+1}-x_1)\\&=\tau_n a^{\Lambda}(x_1)\tau_1\cdots\tau_{n-1}g_{n-2}\cdots g_1(x_n-x_1)\tau_n e(i,\nu)\\&=\tau_n\Big(a^{\Lambda}(x_1)\tau_1\cdots\tau_{n-1}g_{n-1}\cdots g_1 e(i,s_{n-1}\nu)\Big)\tau_n\quad\text{by (4.20)}\\&\equiv\tau_n A_{(\nu'',i)}e(i,s_{n-1}\nu)\tau_n\quad \mathrm{mod}\ R(\beta+\alpha_i)a^{\Lambda}(x_2)R^1(\beta)e(i,\nu)\\&=A_{(\nu'',i)}Q_{\nu_{n-1},\nu_n}(x_n,x_{n+1})e(i,\nu)\\&=A_{\nu''}Q_{\nu_{n-1},i}(x_n,x_{n+1})e(i,\nu).\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the second term in (4.21) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
&-A_{\nu''}(Q_{i,\nu_{n-1}}(x_{n+1},x_n)-Q_{i,\nu_{n-1}}(x_1,x_n))e(i,\nu)\\
&\qquad\qquad=-A_{\nu''}Q_{\nu_{n-1},i}(x_n,x_{n+1})e(i,\nu)+A_{\nu''}Q_{i,\nu_{n-1}}(x_1,x_n)e(i,\nu)\\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\mod R(\beta+\alpha_i)a^{\Lambda}(x_2)R^1(\beta)e(i,\nu).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence these two terms add up to

$$A_{\nu''}Q_{i,\nu_{n-1}}(x_1,x_n)e(i,\nu)=A_\nu e(i,\nu).$$

(b) Finally, suppose $\nu_n=\nu_{n-1}=i$. In this case, we have

$$a^{\Lambda}(x_1)\tau_1\cdots\tau_n g_n\cdots g_1 e(i,\nu)=a^{\Lambda}(x_1)\tau_1\cdots\tau_n g_{n-1}\cdots g_1(x_{n+1}-x_1)e(i,\nu)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_{n-1}\tau_n g_{n-1}e(\nu'',i,i,i)&=\tau_{n-1}\tau_n\big((x_n-x_{n-1})-\tau_{n-1}(x_n-x_{n-1})^2)\big)e(\nu'',i,i,i)\\
&=\tau_{n-1}\tau_n(x_n-x_{n-1})e(\nu'',i,i,i)-\tau_n\tau_{n-1}\tau_n(x_n-x_{n-1})^2e(\nu'',i,i,i).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&a^{\Lambda}(x_1)\tau_1\cdots\tau_n g_n\cdots g_1 e(i,\nu)\\
&=a^{\Lambda}(x_1)\tau_1\cdots\tau_n(x_n-x_{n-1})g_{n-2}\cdots g_1(x_{n+1}-x_1)e(i,\nu)\\
&\quad-\ x\tau_1\cdots\tau_{n-2}(\tau_n\tau_{n-1}\tau_n)(x_n-x_{n-1})^2g_{n-2}\cdots g_1(x_{n+1}-x_1)e(i,\nu)\\
&=a^{\Lambda}(x_1)\tau_1\cdots\tau_{n-1}g_{n-2}\cdots g_1\tau_n(x_n-x_1)(x_{n+1}-x_1)e(i,\nu)\\
&\quad-\tau_n x_1^{\lambda}\tau_1\cdots\tau_{n-1}g_{n-2}\cdots g_1\tau_n(x_n-x_1)^2(x_{n+1}-x_1)e(i,\nu)\\
&=a^{\Lambda}(x_1)\tau_1\cdots\tau_{n-1}g_{n-2}\cdots g_1(x_n-x_1)(x_{n+1}-x_1)\tau_n e(i,\nu)\\
&\quad-\tau_n a^{\Lambda}(x_1)\tau_1\cdots\tau_{n-1}g_{n-2}\cdots g_1(x_n-x_1)(x_{n+1}-x_1)\tau_n(x_n-x_1)e(i,\nu)\\
&=a^{\Lambda}(x_1)\tau_1\cdots\tau_{n-1}(x_n-x_{n-1})g_{n-2}\cdots g_1(x_{n+1}-x_1)\tau_n e(i,\nu)\\
&\quad-\tau_n a^{\Lambda}(x_1)\tau_1\cdots\tau_{n-1}(x_n-x_{n-1})g_{n-2}\cdots g_1(x_{n+1}-x_1)\tau_n(x_n-x_1)e(i,\nu)\\
&\equiv A_{\nu'}(x_{n+1}-x_n)\tau_n e(i,\nu)-\tau_n A_{\nu'}(x_{n+1}-x_1)\tau_n(x_n-x_1)e(i,\nu)\\
&=A_{\nu'}\Big((x_{n+1}-x_1)\tau_n-\tau_n(x_{n+1}-x_1)\tau_n(x_n-x_1)\Big)e(i,\nu).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\tau_n(x_{n+1}-x_1)e(i,\nu)=\big((x_n-x_1)\tau_n+1\big)e(i,\nu)\quad\text{and}\quad\tau_n^2e(i,\nu)=0,$$

which implies

$$\tau_n(x_{n+1}-x_1)\tau_n e(i,\nu)=\tau_n e(i,\nu),$$

and hence

$$\Big((x_{n+1}-x_1)\tau_n-\tau_n(x_{n+1}-x_1)\tau_n(x_n-x_1)\Big)e(i,\nu)=e(i,\nu).$$

Since $\nu=(\nu',i)$, we have $A_{\nu'}=A_\nu$, which completes the proof. $\square$

Note that K_1 is a projective right $\big(R^\Lambda(\beta)\otimes\mathbf{k}[t_i]\big)$-module by Lemma 4.8, and the right multiplication by $\sum_{\nu\in I^\beta}A_\nu e(i,\nu)\in R(\beta+\alpha_i)$ on K_1 is equal to the action of $\sum_{\nu\in I^\beta}a_i^\Lambda(t_i)\prod_{1\le a\le n,\nu_a\neq i}Q_{i,\nu_a}(t_i,x_a)e(\nu)\in R^\Lambda(\beta)\otimes\mathbf{k}[t_i]$, which is a monic polynomial (up to an invertible multiple). Hence $Q\circ P$ is injective by the following elementary lemma whose proof is omitted.

Lemma 4.16. *Let A be a ring and K a projective $A\otimes_{\mathbf{Z}}\mathbf{Z}[t]$-module, where t is an indeterminate. If $f=\sum_{0\le k\le m}a_kt^k$ is an element of $A\otimes_{\mathbf{Z}}\mathbf{Z}[t]$ such that a_m is an invertible element of A, then the multiplication by f on K is an injective endomorphism of K.*

Hence we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 4.17. *The homomorphism $P\colon K_1\longrightarrow K_0$ is injective, and*

$$0\longrightarrow K_1\xrightarrow{P}K_0\xrightarrow{\pi}F^\Lambda\longrightarrow 0 \tag{4.22}$$

is an exact sequence of $(R(\beta+\alpha_i),R^\Lambda(\beta)\otimes\mathbf{k}[t_i])$-bimodules.

Recall that the action of t_i is the right multiplication by x_1 (resp. x_{n+1}) on K_1 (resp. on K_0 and F^Λ). Since both K_1 and K_0 are projective right $R^\Lambda(\beta)[t_i]$-modules by Lemma 4.8, (4.22) is a projective resolution of F^Λ as a right $R^\Lambda(\beta)[t_i]$-module.

By Lemma 4.3, there exists a monic polynomial $g(u)$ such that $g(x_{n+1})=0$ as an element of $R^\Lambda(\beta+\alpha_i)$. Hence Theorem 4.5 follows from Lemma 4.18 below, and Theorem 4.7 is its consequence. Note that the homomorphism P is homogeneous of degree $(\alpha_i\mid 2\Lambda-\beta)$ and it induces the morphism $q^{(\alpha_i|2\Lambda-\beta)}\overline{F}_iM\longrightarrow F_iM$ in Theorem 4.7.

Lemma 4.18. *Let A be a ring and let $A[t]=A\otimes_{\mathbf{Z}}\mathbf{Z}[t]$ be the polynomial ring in t with coefficients in A. Let $a(t)$ be a monic polynomial in t with coefficients in the center $Z(A)$ of A and let M be an $A[t]$-module such that $a(t)M=0$. If M has a projective dimension at most 1 as an $A[t]$-module, that is, if there exists an exact sequence of $A[t]$-modules*

$$0\longrightarrow P_1\xrightarrow{j}P_0\longrightarrow M\longrightarrow 0 \tag{4.23}$$

with projective $A[t]$-modules P_0 and P_1, then M is a projective A-module.

Proof. Let us take an exact sequence as in (4.23), and let $a_i \in \operatorname{End}_{A[t]}(P_i)$ $(i = 0, 1)$ be the endomorphism of P_i obtained by the multiplication of $a(t)$. By Lemma 4.16 we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to P_i \xrightarrow{a_i} P_i \to P_i/a_iP_i \to 0. \tag{4.24}$$

On the other hand, we have a commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & P_1 \arrow[r, "j"] \arrow[d, "a_1"] & P_0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "a_0"] \arrow[dl, dotted, "h"'] & M \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "a(t)=0"] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & P_1 \arrow[r, "j"'] \arrow[u, dotted, bend left, "p"] & P_0 \arrow[r] & M \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since $a(t)|_M = 0$, there exists an $A[t]$-linear homomorphism h such that $a_0 = j \circ h$. Hence, we have $j \circ (h \circ j) = a_0 \circ j = j \circ a_1$. Since j is a monomorphism, we obtain $h \circ j = a_1$.

Since $A[t]/a_iA[t]$ is a projective A-module and P_i is a projective $A[t]$-module, $P_i/a_iP_i \simeq (A[t]/a_iA[t]) \otimes_{A[t]} P_i$ is a projective A-module. Hence (4.24) splits as an exact sequence of A-modules. Hence there exists $p \in \operatorname{End}_A(P_1)$ such that $p \circ a_1 = \mathrm{id}_{P_1}$. Hence $p \circ h \circ j = \mathrm{id}_{P_1}$. Therefore the exact sequence (4.23) splits, and M is a projective A-module. □

We need the following lemma later.

Lemma 4.19. *Set*

$$\mathsf{A} = \sum_{\nu \in I^\beta} A_\nu e(i, \nu),$$
$$\mathsf{B} = \sum_{\nu \in I^\beta} a_i^\Lambda(x_{n+1}) \prod_{\nu_a \neq i} Q_{\nu_a, i}(x_a, x_{n+1}) e(\nu, i).$$

Then we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K_1 \arrow[r, "P"] \arrow[d, "\mathsf{A}"'] & K_0 \arrow[d, "\mathsf{B}"] \arrow[dl, "Q"'] \\
K_1 \arrow[r, "P"'] & K_0 .
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here the vertical arrows are the right multiplications by A *and* B*, respectively.*

Proof. We know already the commutativity of the upper triangle and the square. Let us show the commutativity of the lower triangle. We have $P\circ Q\circ P = P\circ \mathsf{A} = \mathsf{B}\circ P$. Hence $(P\circ Q-\mathsf{B})\circ P = 0$. By the exact sequence (4.22), $P\circ Q-\mathsf{B}\in \operatorname{End}_{R^\Lambda(\beta)[t_i]}(K_0)$ factors through F^Λ. Since F^Λ is annihilated by the right multiplication by a monic polynomial $g(t_i)$, the image of $P\circ Q-\mathsf{B}$ is also annihilated by $g(x_{n+1})$. Since $g(x_{n+1})\colon K_0\to K_0$ is a monomorphism, we obtain $P\circ Q-\mathsf{B}=0$. □

Remark 4.20. (i) Both $\sum_{\nu\in I^\beta}\prod_{\nu_a=i}(t_i-x_a)e(\nu)$ and $\sum_{\nu\in I^\beta}\prod_{\nu_a\neq i}Q_{i,\nu_a}(t_i,x_a)e(\nu)$ belong to the center of $R(\beta)\otimes\mathbf{k}[t_i]$ (cf. [10, Theorem 2.9]).

(ii) For any $n\geq m$, $R^\Lambda(n)$ is a projective $R^\Lambda(m)$-module. Indeed, $R^\Lambda(m+1)$ is a projective $R^\Lambda(m)$-module by Theorem 4.5, and the general case follows by induction on n. In particular, $R^\Lambda(n)$ is a projective $\mathbf{k}$-module.

5. $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-CATEGORIFICATION

In this section, we will show that the functors E_i^Λ and F_i^Λ satisfy certain commutation relations similar to those between generators of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2$.

5.1. **Commutation relations between E_i^Λ and F_i^Λ.** For the adjoint pair $(F_i^\Lambda,E_i^\Lambda)$, consider the adjunction transformations $\varepsilon\colon F_i^\Lambda E_i^\Lambda\to \mathrm{Id}$ and $\eta\colon \mathrm{Id}\to E_i^\Lambda F_i^\Lambda$, and the natural transformations $x_{E_i^\Lambda}$, $x_{F_i^\Lambda}$ as in Section 3. For example, $x_{F_i^\Lambda i}$ is given by the right multiplication by x_{n+1} on $R^\Lambda(\beta+\alpha_i)e(\beta,i)$. Thus we obtain the following commutative diagram:

(5.1)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
\operatorname{Hom}_{R^\Lambda(\beta+\alpha_i)}(F_i^\Lambda(M),N) \arrow[r, "\sim"] \arrow[d, "x_{F_i^\Lambda}"] & \operatorname{Hom}_{R^\Lambda(\beta)}(M,E_i^\Lambda(N)) \arrow[d, "x_{E_i^\Lambda}"] \\
\operatorname{Hom}_{R^\Lambda(\beta+\alpha_i)}(F_i^\Lambda(M),N) \arrow[r, "\sim"] & \operatorname{Hom}_{R^\Lambda(\beta)}(M,E_i^\Lambda(N))\,.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Theorem 5.1. *For $i\neq j$, there exists a natural isomorphism*
$$q^{-(\alpha_i|\alpha_j)}F_j^\Lambda E_i^\Lambda \xrightarrow{\sim} E_i^\Lambda F_j^\Lambda.$$

Proof. By Corollary 3.4, there is an isomorphism
$$e(n,i)R(n+1)e(n,j)\xrightarrow{\sim} R(n)e(n-1,j)\otimes_{R(n-1)}e(n-1,i)R(n).$$

Applying the functor $R^\Lambda(n) \otimes_{R(n)} \bullet \otimes_{R(n)} R^\Lambda(n)$, the right-hand side yields

$$R^\Lambda(n)e(n-1,j) \otimes_{R^\Lambda(n-1)} e(n-1,i)R^\Lambda(n) = F_j^\Lambda E_i^\Lambda R^\Lambda(n),$$

and the left-hand side is equal to

$$\frac{e(n,i)R(n+1)e(n,j)}{e(n,i)R(n)a^\Lambda(x_1)R(n+1)e(n,j) + e(n,i)R(n+1)a^\Lambda(x_1)R(n)e(n,j)}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} E_i^\Lambda F_j^\Lambda R^\Lambda(n) &= e(n,i)R^\Lambda(n+1)e(n,j) \otimes_{R(n)} R^\Lambda(n) \\ &= \frac{e(n,i)R(n+1)e(n,j)}{e(n,i)R(n+1)a^\Lambda(x_1)R(n+1)e(n,j)}, \end{aligned}$$

it suffices to show that

$$\begin{aligned} &e(n,i)R(n+1)a^\Lambda(x_1)R(n+1)e(n,j) \\ &= e(n,i)R(n)a^\Lambda(x_1)R(n+1)e(n,j) + e(n,i)R(n+1)a^\Lambda(x_1)R(n)e(n,j). \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &R(n+1)a^\Lambda(x_1)R(n+1) = \sum_{a=1}^{n+1} R(n+1)a^\Lambda(x_1)\tau_a \cdots \tau_n R(n,1) \\ &= R(n+1)a^\Lambda(x_1)R(n,1) + R(n+1)a^\Lambda(x_1)\tau_1 \cdots \tau_n R(n,1) \\ &= R(n+1)a^\Lambda(x_1)R(n) + \sum_{a=1}^{n+1} R(n,1)\tau_n \cdots \tau_a a^\Lambda(x_1)\tau_1 \cdots \tau_n R(n,1) \\ &= R(n+1)a^\Lambda(x_1)R(n) + R(n,1)a^\Lambda(x_1)R(n+1) + R(n,1)\tau_n \cdots \tau_1 a^\Lambda(x_1)\tau_1 \cdots \tau_n R(n,1) \\ &= R(n+1)a^\Lambda(x_1)R(n) + R(n)a^\Lambda(x_1)R(n+1) + R(n,1)\tau_n \cdots \tau_1 a^\Lambda(x_1)\tau_1 \cdots \tau_n R(n,1). \end{aligned}$$

Since $i \neq j$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &e(n,i)R(n,1)\tau_n \cdots \tau_1 a^\Lambda(x_1)\tau_1 \cdots \tau_n R(n,1)e(n,j) \\ &= R(n,1)\tau_n \cdots \tau_1 e(i,n)a^\Lambda(x_1)e(j,n)\tau_1 \cdots \tau_n R(n,1) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which proves our assertion (5.2). $\square$

The natural transformation $q_i^{-2}F_iE_i \to E_iF_i$ defined in Proposition 3.3 induces a natural transformation $q_i^{-2}F_i^\Lambda E_i^\Lambda \to E_i^\Lambda F_i^\Lambda$. Moreover, there exists a natural transformation

$$q_i^{2k}\,\mathrm{Id} \longrightarrow E_i^\Lambda F_i^\Lambda \quad (k \geq 0)$$

given by the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}[column sep=large] \mathrm{Id} \arrow[r, "\eta"] \arrow[d, "\eta"'] & E_i^\Lambda F_i^\Lambda \arrow[d, "E_i^\Lambda \circ (x_{F_i^\Lambda})^k"] \\ E_i^\Lambda F_i^\Lambda \arrow[r, "(x_{E_i^\Lambda})^k \circ F_i^\Lambda"'] & q_i^{-2k} E_i^\Lambda F_i^\Lambda\,. \end{tikzcd} \tag{5.3}$$

Note that the commutativity of (5.3) follows from (5.1). Similarly, there exists a natural transformation

$$F_i^\Lambda E_i^\Lambda \longrightarrow q_i^{-2k}\,\mathrm{Id} \quad (k \geq 0)$$

given by the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}[column sep=large] q_i^{2k} F_i^\Lambda E_i^\Lambda \arrow[r, "(x_{F_i^\Lambda})^k \circ E_i^\Lambda"] \arrow[d, "F_i^\Lambda \circ (x_{E_i^\Lambda})^k"'] & F_i^\Lambda E_i^\Lambda \arrow[d, "\varepsilon"] \\ F_i^\Lambda E_i^\Lambda \arrow[r, "\varepsilon"'] & \mathrm{Id}\,. \end{tikzcd} \tag{5.4}$$

Now we can state another main theorem of our paper.

Theorem 5.2. *Let $\lambda = \Lambda - \beta$. Then there exist natural isomorphisms of endofunctors on $\mathrm{Mod}(R^\Lambda(\beta))$ given below.*

(a) *If $\langle h_i, \lambda \rangle \geq 0$, then we have an isomorphism*

$$q_i^{-2} F_i^\Lambda E_i^\Lambda \oplus \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\langle h_i, \lambda \rangle - 1} q_i^{2k}\,\mathrm{Id} \xrightarrow{\sim} E_i^\Lambda F_i^\Lambda .$$

(b) *If $\langle h_i, \lambda \rangle \leq 0$, then we have an isomorphism*

$$q_i^{-2} F_i^\Lambda E_i^\Lambda \xrightarrow{\sim} E_i^\Lambda F_i^\Lambda \oplus \bigoplus_{k=0}^{-\langle h_i, \lambda \rangle - 1} q_i^{-2k-2}\,\mathrm{Id}\,.$$

Note that in [15, §4.1.3] it is one of the axioms for the categorification (see also [4, Theorem 5.27]).

5.2. **Proof of Theorem 5.2.** In order to prove this theorem, we consider the following commutative diagrams with exact rows and columns for $M \in \mathrm{Mod}(R^{\Lambda}(\beta))$ (see Theorem 3.6, Theorem 3.9 and Theorem 4.7):

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & q_i^{-2}M & \\
0 \arrow[r] & q^{(\alpha_i|2\Lambda-\beta)}\overline{F}_iE_iM \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & q_i^{-2}F_iE_iM \arrow[r] \arrow[d] \arrow[ur, "\varepsilon"] & q_i^{-2}F_i^{\Lambda}E_i^{\Lambda}M \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & q^{(\alpha_i|2\Lambda-\beta)}E_i\overline{F}_iM \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & E_iF_iM \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & E_i^{\Lambda}F_i^{\Lambda}M \arrow[r] & 0. \\
 & q^{(\alpha_i|2\Lambda-2\beta)}\mathbf{k}[t_i]\otimes M \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathbf{k}[t_i]\otimes M \arrow[d] & & \\
 & 0 & 0 & &
\end{tikzcd} \tag{5.5}$$

At the kernel level, the commutative diagram (5.5) corresponds to the following commutative diagram of $(R(\beta), R^{\Lambda}(\beta))$-bimodules

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & q_i^{-2}R^{\Lambda}(\beta) & \\
0 \arrow[r] & q^{(\alpha_i|2\Lambda-\beta)}K'_1 \arrow[r, "P'"] \arrow[d] & q_i^{-2}K'_0 \arrow[r, "G"'] \arrow[d, "F=\tau_n"] \arrow[ur, "E"] & q_i^{-2}F_i^{\Lambda}E_i^{\Lambda}R^{\Lambda}(\beta) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & q^{(\alpha_i|2\Lambda-\beta)}E_iK_1 \arrow[r, "P"] \arrow[d, "B"'] & E_iK_0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "C"] & E_iF^{\Lambda} \arrow[r] & 0 \\
 & q^{(\alpha_i|2\Lambda-2\beta)}\mathbf{k}[t_i]\otimes R^{\Lambda}(\beta) \arrow[r, "A"] \arrow[d] & \mathbf{k}[t_i]\otimes R^{\Lambda}(\beta) \arrow[d] & & \\
 & 0 & 0 & &
\end{tikzcd} \tag{5.6}$$

Here, we have

$$\begin{aligned} K'_0 &:= F_iE_iR^{\Lambda}(\beta) = R(\beta)e(\beta-\alpha_i,i)\otimes_{R(\beta-\alpha_i)} e(\beta-\alpha_i,i)R^{\Lambda}(\beta),\\ K'_1 &:= \overline{F}_iE_iR^{\Lambda}(\beta) = R(\beta)e(i,\beta-\alpha_i)\otimes_{R(\beta-\alpha_i)} e(\beta-\alpha_i,i)R(\beta)\otimes_{R(\beta)} R^{\Lambda}(\beta)\\ &\quad = R(\beta)e(i,\beta-\alpha_i)\otimes_{R(\beta-\alpha_i)} e(\beta-\alpha_i,i)R^{\Lambda}(\beta), \end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F_i^{\Lambda}E_i^{\Lambda}R^{\Lambda}(\beta) &= R^{\Lambda}(\beta)e(\beta-\alpha_i,i)\otimes_{R(\beta-\alpha_i)} e(\beta-\alpha_i,i)R^{\Lambda}(\beta),\\ E_iF^{\Lambda} &= E_i^{\Lambda}F_i^{\Lambda}R^{\Lambda}(\beta) = e(\beta,i)R^{\Lambda}(\beta+\alpha_i)e(\beta,i)\\ &= \frac{e(\beta,i)R(\beta+\alpha_i)e(\beta,i)}{e(\beta,i)R(\beta+\alpha_i)a^{\Lambda}(x_1)R(\beta+\alpha_i)e(\beta,i)},\\ E_iK_0 &= E_iF_iR^{\Lambda}(\beta) = e(\beta,i)R(\beta+\alpha_i)e(\beta,i) \underset{R(\beta)}{\otimes} R^{\Lambda}(\beta)\\ &= \frac{e(\beta,i)R(\beta+\alpha_i)e(\beta,i)}{e(\beta,i)R(\beta+\alpha_i)a^{\Lambda}(x_1)R(\beta)e(\beta,i)},\\ E_iK_1 &= E_i\overline{F}_iR^{\Lambda}(\beta) = e(\beta,i)R(\beta+\alpha_i)e(i,\beta) \underset{R(\beta)}{\otimes} R^{\Lambda}(\beta)\\ &= \frac{e(\beta,i)R(\beta+\alpha_i)e(i,\beta)}{e(\beta,i)R(\beta+\alpha_i)a^{\Lambda}(x_2)R^{1}(\beta)e(i,\beta)}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

We also note the following properties:

1. The map P is the right multiplication by $a^{\Lambda}(x_1)\tau_1\cdots\tau_n$ given in Section 4, and is $\big(R(\beta), R^{\Lambda}(\beta)\otimes \mathbf{k}[t_i]\big)$-bilinear.
2. Similarly, the map P' is given by the right multiplication by $a^{\Lambda}(x_1)\tau_1\cdots\tau_{n-1}$ on $R(\beta)e(i,\beta-\alpha_i)$.
3. The map E is given by $x\otimes y\mapsto xy$ and the map F is given by $x\otimes y\mapsto x\tau_n y$ (see Proposition 3.3).
4. The map C is the cokernel map of F. Hence C is $(R(\beta), R^{\Lambda}(\beta))$-bilinear but does *not* commute with t_i.
5. The map B is written as φ in Corollary 3.8. Thus it is given by taking the coefficient of $\tau_n\cdots\tau_1$ and is $(R(\beta)\otimes\mathbf{k}[x_{n+1}], \mathbf{k}[x_1]\otimes R^{\Lambda}(\beta))$-bilinear.
6. The map A is defined by chasing the diagram. It is $R^{\Lambda}(\beta)$-bilinear but *not* $\mathbf{k}[t_i]$-linear.
7. The map G is the canonical projection, which is $\big(R(\beta)\otimes\mathbf{k}[x_{n+1}], R^{\Lambda}(\beta)\otimes\mathbf{k}[x_{n+1}]\big)$-bilinear.

We write p for the number of times α_i appears in β. Define an invertible element $\gamma \in \mathbf{k}^\times$ by

$$(5.9)\ (-1)^p \prod_{\nu_a \neq i} Q_{i,\nu_a}(t_i, x_a) = \gamma^{-1} t_i^{-\langle h_i, \beta\rangle + 2p} + \Big(\text{terms of degree} < -\langle h_i, \beta\rangle + 2p \text{ in } t_i\Big).$$

For $\lambda = \Lambda - \beta$, define

$$\varphi_k = A(t_i^k) \in \mathbf{k}[t_i] \otimes R^\Lambda(\beta), \tag{5.10}$$

which is of degree $2(\alpha_i|\lambda) + k(\alpha_i|\alpha_i) = (\alpha_i|\alpha_i)(\langle h_i, \lambda\rangle + k)$.

We need the following proposition for the proof of Theorem 5.2.

Proposition 5.3.

(a) *If* $\langle h_i, \lambda\rangle + k < 0$, *then* $\varphi_k = 0$.

(b) *If* $\langle h_i, \lambda\rangle + k \geq 0$, *then* $\gamma\varphi_k$ *is a monic polynomial of degree* $\langle h_i, \lambda\rangle + k$ *in* t_i.

From now on, *a monic polynomial of degree* < 0 *will be understood to be* 0. To prove Proposition 5.3, we need some preparation.

Lemma 5.4. *For* $z \in K_0' = R(\beta)e(\beta - \alpha_i, i) \otimes_{R(\beta-\alpha_i)} e(\beta - \alpha_i, i)R^\Lambda(\beta)$, *we have*

$$F(z)x_{n+1} = F(z(x_n \otimes 1)) + E(z), \tag{5.11}$$

where $E(z) \in R^\Lambda(\beta) \subset e(\beta, i)R(\beta + \alpha_i)e(\beta, i) \otimes_{R(\beta)} R^\Lambda(\beta) = E_i K_0$.

Proof. Write $z = a \otimes b$ where $a \in R(\beta)e(\beta - \alpha_i, i)$, $b \in e(\beta - \alpha_i, i)R^\Lambda(\beta)$. Then

$$F(z) = a\tau_n b \quad \text{and} \quad E(z) = ab.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} F(z)x_{n+1} &= a\tau_n b x_{n+1} = a\tau_n x_{n+1} b = a(x_n\tau_n + 1)b = a x_n \tau_n b + ab \\ &= F(a x_n \otimes b) + E(a \otimes b) = F(z(x_n \otimes 1)) + E(z), \end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

By Corollary 3.4, we have the $(R(\beta), R^\Lambda(\beta))$-bimodule decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} &e(\beta, i)R(\beta + \alpha_i)e(\beta, i) \otimes_{R(\beta)} R^\Lambda(\beta) \\ &\quad = F\big(R(\beta)e(\beta - \alpha_i, i) \otimes_{R(\beta-\alpha_i)} e(\beta - \alpha_i, i)R^\Lambda(\beta)\big) \oplus (R^\Lambda(\beta) \otimes \mathbf{k}[t_i])e(\beta, i), \end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

where $t_i = x_{n+1}$. Using the decomposition (5.12), we write

$$P\big(e(\beta, i)\tau_n \cdots \tau_1 x_1^k e(i, \beta)\big) = F(\psi_k) + \varphi_k \tag{5.13}$$

for uniquely determined elements $\psi_k \in K_0'$ and $\varphi_k \in \mathbf{k}[t_i] \otimes R^\Lambda(\beta)$. Note that the definition of φ_k coincides with the one given in (5.10). Indeed, for $k \geq 0$, we have

$$A(t_i^k) = AB(e(\beta,i)\tau_n \cdots \tau_1 x_1^k e(i,\beta)) = CP(e(\beta,i)\tau_n \cdots \tau_1 x_1^k e(i,\beta)) = C(\varphi_k) = \varphi_k.$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(\psi_{k+1}) + \varphi_{k+1} &= P(e(\beta,i)\tau_n \cdots \tau_1 x_1^{k+1} e(i,\beta)) = P(e(\beta,i)\tau_n \cdots \tau_1 x_1^k e(i,\beta))x_{n+1} \\ &= (F(\psi_k) + \varphi_k)x_{n+1} = F(\psi_k(x_n \otimes 1)) + E(\psi_k) + \varphi_k t_i, \end{aligned}$$

where $t_i = x_{n+1}$. Therefore we obtain

$$\psi_{k+1} = \psi_k(x_n \otimes 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_{k+1} = \varphi_k t_i + E(\psi_k). \tag{5.14}$$

In particular, φ_k is determined uniquely by φ_{k+1}.

Now we will prove Proposition 5.3. By Lemma 4.19, we have

$$g_n \cdots g_1 x_1^k e(i,\nu) a^\Lambda(x_1)\tau_1 \cdots \tau_n = x_{n+1}^k a_i^\Lambda(x_{n+1}) \prod_{\nu_a \neq i} Q_{i,\nu_a}(x_{n+1}, x_a) e(\nu, i)$$

in $e(\beta,i)R(\beta+\alpha_i)e(\beta,i) \otimes_{R(\beta)} R^\Lambda(\beta)$, which implies

$$\begin{aligned} AB(g_n \cdots g_1 x_1^k e(i,\nu)) &= C\big(x_{n+1}^k a_i^\Lambda(x_{n+1}) \prod_{\nu_a \neq i} Q_{i,\nu_a}(x_{n+1}, x_a) e(\nu, i)\big) \\ &= t_i^k a_i^\Lambda(t_i) \prod_{\nu_a \neq i} Q_{i,\nu_a}(t_i, x_a) e(\nu). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since B is the map taking the coefficient of $\tau_n \cdots \tau_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} B\big(g_n \cdots g_1 x_1^k e(i,\nu)\big) &= B\Big(\prod_{\nu_a = i}(-(x_{n+1} - x_a)^2) x_{n+1}^k e(\nu,i)\tau_n \cdots \tau_1\Big) \\ &= t_i^k \prod_{\nu_a = i}(-(t_i - x_a)^2) e(\nu). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$A\big(t_i^k \prod_{\nu_a = i}(t_i - x_a)^2 e(\nu)\big) = (-1)^p t_i^k a_i^\Lambda(t_i) \prod_{\nu_a \neq i} Q_{i,\nu_a}(t_i, x_a) e(\nu). \tag{5.15}$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} S &:= \sum_{\nu \in I^\beta} \Big(\prod_{\nu_a = i}(t_i - x_a)^2 e(\nu)\Big) \in \mathbf{k}[t_i] \otimes R^\Lambda(\beta), \\ F &:= \gamma(-1)^p a_i^\Lambda(t_i) \sum_{\nu \in I^\beta} \Big(\prod_{\nu_a \neq i} Q_{i,\nu_a}(t_i, x_a) e(\nu)\Big) \in \mathbf{k}[t_i] \otimes R^\Lambda(\beta). \end{aligned}$$

Then they are monic polynomials in t_i of degree $2p$ and of degree $\langle h_i, \Lambda - \beta\rangle + 2p = \langle h_i, \lambda\rangle + 2p$, respectively. Note also that S and F belong to the center of $\mathbf{k}[t_i] \otimes R^\Lambda(\beta)$.

Then (5.15) reads as

$$A(t_i^k S) = \gamma^{-1} t_i^k F. \tag{5.16}$$

Lemma 5.5. *We have*

$$t_i^k F = (\gamma\varphi_k) S + h_k, \tag{5.17}$$

where h_k is a polynomial in t_i of degree $< 2p$. Hence $\gamma\varphi_k$ is the quotient of $t_i^k F$ divided by S.

Proof. By (5.14), we have $A(t_i^{k+1}) - A(t_i^k)t_i \in R^\Lambda(\beta)$, which implies

$$A(at_i) - A(a)t_i \in R^\Lambda(\beta) \text{ for any } a \in R^\Lambda(\beta)[t_i]. \tag{5.18}$$

By induction on m. we shall show

(5.19) for any polynomial $f \in R^\Lambda(\beta)[t_i]$ in t_i of degree m and $a \in R^\Lambda(\beta)[t_i]$, $A(af) - A(a)f$ is of degree $< m$.

It is already proved for $m = 0, 1$. Hence it is enough to show (5.19) assuming that $f = t_i g$ and (5.19) is true for g. Then

$$A(af) - A(a)f = \big(A(at_i g) - A(at_i)g\big) + \big(A(at_i) - A(a)t_i\big)g.$$

The first term is of degree $< \deg(g)$ and the second term is of degree $\leq \deg(g)$. Hence we obtain (5.19).

Then we have

$$t_i^k \gamma^{-1} F - \varphi_k S = t_i^k \gamma^{-1} F - A(t_i^k) S = A(t_i^k S) - A(t_i^k) S$$

by (5.16) and it is of order $< 2p$ by applying (5.19) for $f = S$. □

As an immediate consequence of Lemma 5.5, we see that $\gamma\varphi_k$ is a monic polynomial in t_i of degree $\langle h_i, \lambda\rangle + k$. This completes the proof of Proposition 5.3.

Now we are ready to finish the proof of Theorem 5.2. By the Snake Lemma, we have the following exact sequence of $R^\Lambda(\beta)$-bimodules:

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ker} A \longrightarrow q_i^{-2} F_i^\Lambda E_i^\Lambda R^\Lambda(\beta) \longrightarrow E_i^\Lambda F_i^\Lambda R^\Lambda(\beta) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Coker} A \longrightarrow 0.$$

If $a := \langle h_i, \lambda \rangle \geq 0$, by Proposition 5.3, we have

$$\operatorname{Ker} A = 0, \quad \bigoplus_{k=0}^{a-1} \mathbf{k}\, t_i^k \otimes R^\Lambda(\beta) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Coker} A.$$

Hence the composition $\bigoplus_{k=0}^{a-1} \mathbf{k}\, t_i^k \otimes R^\Lambda(\beta) \longrightarrow E_i^\Lambda F_i^\Lambda R^\Lambda(\beta) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Coker} A$ is an isomorphism, and we obtain an isomorphism of $R^\Lambda(\beta)$-bimodules:

$$q_i^{-2} F_i^\Lambda E_i^\Lambda R^\Lambda(\beta) \oplus \bigoplus_{k=0}^{a-1} \mathbf{k}\, t_i^k \otimes R^\Lambda(\beta) \xrightarrow{\sim} E_i^\Lambda F_i^\Lambda R^\Lambda(\beta),$$

which proves the statement (a) in Theorem 5.2.

Assume now $a := -\langle h_i, \lambda \rangle \geq 0$. By Proposition 5.3, we have

$$\operatorname{Coker}(A) = 0, \quad \operatorname{Ker}(A) = q^{2(\alpha_i|\Lambda-\beta)} \bigoplus_{k=0}^{a-1} \mathbf{k}\, t_i^k \otimes R^\Lambda(\beta).$$

Then $\operatorname{Ker} A \to q_i^{-2} F_i^\Lambda E_i^\Lambda R^\Lambda(\beta)$ is given by $t_i^k \longmapsto G(\psi_k)$. We define a map

$$\Psi\colon \operatorname{Ker}(A) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{k=0}^{a-1} \mathbf{k}\, t_i^k \otimes R^\Lambda(\beta)$$

as the composition

$$\operatorname{Ker} A \longrightarrow q_i^{-2} F_i E_i R^\Lambda(\beta) \xrightarrow{E\circ(x_n^k \otimes 1)} \bigoplus_{k=0}^{a-1} \mathbf{k}\, t_i^k \otimes R^\Lambda(\beta),$$

where the map $\operatorname{Ker} A \to q_i^{-2} F_i E_i R^\Lambda(\beta)$ is given by $t_i^k \mapsto \psi_k$ and $E\circ(x_n^k \otimes 1)\colon q_i^{-2} F_i E_i R^\Lambda(\beta) \to \bigoplus_{k=0}^{a-1} \mathbf{k}\, t_i^k \otimes R^\Lambda(\beta)$ is given by $s \longmapsto \sum_{k=0}^{a-1} t_i^k \otimes E(s(x_n^k \otimes 1))$. Then we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\operatorname{Ker}(A) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & q_i^{-2} F_i E_i R^\Lambda(\beta) \arrow[dl, "G"'] \arrow[d, "E\circ(x_n^k\otimes 1)"] \\
q_i^{-2} F_i^\Lambda E_i^\Lambda R^\Lambda(\beta) \arrow[r] & \bigoplus_{k=0}^{a-1} \mathbf{k}\, t_i^k \otimes R^\Lambda(\beta).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Then by (5.14), we have

$$\Psi(t_i^j) = \sum_{k=0}^{a-1} E(\psi_j(x_n^k \otimes 1)) t_i^k = \sum_{k=0}^{a-1} E(\psi_{j+k}) t_i^k.$$

Since $\gamma\varphi_k$ is a monic polynomial of degree $k-a$ in t_i, we deduce

$$\varphi_k = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k < a, \\ \gamma^{-1} & \text{if } k = a. \end{cases}$$

From the relation

$$\gamma^{-1} = \varphi_a = E(\psi_{a-1}) + \varphi_{a-1} t_i,$$

we obtain

$$E(\psi_{a-1}) = \gamma^{-1}.$$

For $k \le a-1$, we have

$$0 = \varphi_k = \varphi_{k-1} t_i + E(\psi_{k-1}),$$

from which we obtain

$$E(\psi_k) = 0 \quad \text{for all } k < a-1.$$

Thus we derive a system of equations

$$\Psi(t_i^j) = \gamma^{-1} t_i^{a-1-j} + \sum_{a-1-j<k\le a-1} E(\psi_{j+k}) t_i^k.$$

Hence Ψ is an $R^\Lambda(\beta)$-linear endomorphism of $\bigoplus_{k=0}^{a-1} \mathbf{k}\, t_i^k \otimes R^\Lambda(\beta)$ which is in a triangular form. Therefore, Ψ is an isomorphism and we conclude

$$F_i^\Lambda E_i^\Lambda R^\Lambda(\beta) \xrightarrow{\sim} E_i^\Lambda F_i^\Lambda R^\Lambda(\beta) \oplus \bigoplus_{k=0}^{a-1} \mathbf{k}\, t_i^k \otimes R^\Lambda(\beta)$$

as $R^\Lambda(\beta)$-bimodules. This completes the proof of Theorem 5.2. □

6. CATEGORIFICATION OF $V(\Lambda)$

In this section, we shall show that cyclotomic Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras categorify the irreducible highest weight module $V(\Lambda)$. In [15], one can find a more systematic and detailed treatment of the categorification.

Hereafter, we assume that the degree-zero part $\mathbf{k}_0$ of the base ring $\mathbf{k}$ is a commutative field. For $\beta \in Q^+$, let us denote by $\mathrm{Proj}(R^\Lambda(\beta))$ the category of finitely generated projective graded $R^\Lambda(\beta)$-modules, and by $\mathrm{Rep}(R^\Lambda(\beta))$ the category of graded $R^\Lambda(\beta)$-modules that are finite-dimensional over $\mathbf{k}_0$. Let us denote by $[\mathrm{Proj}(R^\Lambda(\beta))]$

and $[\mathrm{Rep}(R^\Lambda(\beta))]$ their Grothendieck groups. Then they are $\mathbf{Z}[q,q^{-1}]$-modules, where the action of q is given by the grade shift functor q (see (3.10)). Let us set

$$[\mathrm{Proj}(R^\Lambda)] := \bigoplus_{\beta\in Q^+}[\mathrm{Proj}(R^\Lambda(\beta))] \quad \text{and} \quad [\mathrm{Rep}(R^\Lambda)] := \bigoplus_{\beta\in Q^+}[\mathrm{Rep}(R^\Lambda(\beta))].$$

By Theorem 4.5 and its corollary (Corollary 4.6), the arrows of the following diagrams are exact functors:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Proj}(R^\Lambda(\beta)) \arrow[r, shift left, "F_i^\Lambda"] & \mathrm{Proj}(R^\Lambda(\beta+\alpha_i)) \arrow[l, shift left, "q_i^{1-\langle h_i,\Lambda-\beta\rangle}E_i^\Lambda"] \ ,
\end{tikzcd}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Rep}(R^\Lambda(\beta)) \arrow[r, shift left, "q_i^{1-\langle h_i,\Lambda-\beta\rangle}F_i^\Lambda"] & \mathrm{Rep}(R^\lambda(\beta+\alpha_i)). \arrow[l, shift left, "E_i^\Lambda"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

Hence they induce endomorphisms F_i and E_i on $[\mathrm{Proj}(R^\Lambda)]$ and $[\mathrm{Rep}(R^\Lambda)]$. The following lemma immediately follows from Theorem 5.2.

Lemma 6.1. *For all $i,j\in I$, we have*

$$[\mathsf{E}_i,\mathsf{F}_j] = \delta_{ij}\frac{K_i-K_i^{-1}}{q_i-q_i^{-1}}$$

on $[\mathrm{Proj}(R^\Lambda)]$ *and* $[\mathrm{Rep}(R^\Lambda)]$. *Here, K_i is given by*

$$K_i|_{[\mathrm{Proj}(R^\Lambda(\beta))]} = q_i^{\langle h_i,\Lambda-\beta\rangle}, \quad K_i|_{[\mathrm{Rep}(R^\Lambda(\beta))]} = q_i^{\langle h_i,\Lambda-\beta\rangle}.$$

It is obvious that the action of E_i on $[\mathrm{Proj}(R^\Lambda)]$ and $[\mathrm{Rep}(R^\Lambda)]$ are locally nilpotent. Lemma 4.3 implies that the action of F_i on $[\mathrm{Proj}(R^\Lambda)]$ and $[\mathrm{Rep}(R^\Lambda)]$ are also locally nilpotent. Therefore, by [9, Proposition B.1], the Grothendieck groups

$$[\mathrm{Proj}(R^\Lambda)]_{\mathbf{Q}(q)} = \mathbf{Q}(q)\otimes_{\mathbf{Z}[q,q^{-1}]}[\mathrm{Proj}(R^\Lambda)]$$

and

$$[\mathrm{Rep}(R^\Lambda)]_{\mathbf{Q}(q)} = \mathbf{Q}(q)\otimes_{\mathbf{Z}[q,q^{-1}]}[\mathrm{Rep}(R^\Lambda)]$$

become integrable $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-modules.

For a left R^Λ-module N, let us denote by N^ψ the right R^Λ-module obtained from N by the anti-involution ψ of R^Λ that fixes the generators x_k, τ_l and $e(\nu)$. By the pairing

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [\mathrm{Proj}(R^\Lambda)] \times [\mathrm{Rep}(R^\Lambda)] & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Z}[q,q^{-1}], \\ \Cup & & \Cup \\ (P,M) & \longmapsto & \displaystyle\sum_{n\in\mathbf{Z}} q^n \dim_{\mathbf{k}_0}(P^\psi \otimes_{R^\Lambda} M)_n \end{array}$$

the free $\mathbf{Z}[q,q^{-1}]$-modules $[\mathrm{Proj}(R^\Lambda)]$ and $[\mathrm{Rep}(R^\Lambda)]$ are dual to each other. Moreover, $\mathsf{E}_i|_{[\mathrm{Proj}(R^\Lambda)]}$ and $\mathsf{F}_i|_{[\mathrm{Proj}(R^\Lambda)]}$ are adjoint to $\mathsf{F}_i|_{[\mathrm{Rep}(R^\Lambda)]}$ and $\mathsf{E}_i|_{[\mathrm{Rep}(R^\Lambda)]}$, respectively.

We denote by $\mathrm{Rep}(R(\beta))$ the category of $R(\beta)$-modules that are finite-dimensional over $\mathbf{k}_0$. We define $[\mathrm{Rep}(R)] = \bigoplus_{\beta\in Q^+} [\mathrm{Rep}(R(\beta))]$, $[\mathrm{Rep}(R)]_{\mathbf{Q}(q)} = \mathbf{Q}(q)\otimes_{\mathbf{Z}[q,q^{-1}]}[\mathrm{Rep}(R)]$, $\mathrm{Proj}(R(\beta))$, $[\mathrm{Proj}(R)]$ and $[\mathrm{Proj}(R)]_{\mathbf{Q}(q)}$, similarly. Then $[\mathrm{Proj}(R)]$ and $[\mathrm{Rep}(R)]$ are also dual to each other. The fully faithful exact functor $\mathrm{Rep}(R^\Lambda(\beta)) \to \mathrm{Rep}(R(\beta))$ induces a $\mathbf{Z}[q,q^{-1}]$-linear homomorphism $[\mathrm{Rep}(R^\Lambda)] \to [\mathrm{Rep}(R)]$. It is well-known that $[\mathrm{Rep}(R)]$ (resp. $[\mathrm{Rep}(R^\Lambda)]$) has a basis $[S]$ where S ranges over the set of the isomorphism classes of irreducible R-modules (resp. R^Λ-modules). Hence $[\mathrm{Rep}(R^\Lambda)] \to [\mathrm{Rep}(R)]$ is injective and its cokernel is a free $\mathbf{Z}[q,q^{-1}]$-module. By the duality, the homomorphism $[\mathrm{Proj}(R)] \to [\mathrm{Proj}(R^\Lambda)]$ (induced by the functor $R^\Lambda(\beta) \otimes_{R(\beta)} \bullet$) is surjective. Note that $[\mathrm{Proj}(R)] \to [\mathrm{Proj}(R^\Lambda)]$ is $U^-_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathfrak{g})$-linear. In [10], Khovanov and Lauda showed that $[\mathrm{Proj}(R)]$ is isomorphic to $U^-_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathfrak{g})$ as bialgebras. Hence its quotient $[\mathrm{Proj}(R^\Lambda)]$ is generated by the trivial representation $\mathbf{1}_\Lambda$ of $R^\Lambda(0)$. Therefore $[\mathrm{Proj}(R^\Lambda)]_{\mathbf{Q}(q)}$ is an integrable highest weight $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module and it is isomorphic to $V(\Lambda)$ by Proposition 2.3 (a). Hence $[\mathrm{Proj}(R^\Lambda)]$ is isomorphic to $V_{\mathbf{A}}(\Lambda)$. By duality, we obtain $[\mathrm{Rep}(R^\Lambda)] \simeq V_{\mathbf{A}}(\Lambda)^\vee$.

To summarize, we obtain the categorification of the irreducible highest weight module $V(\Lambda)$.

Theorem 6.2. *There exist isomorphisms of $U_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathfrak{g})$-modules*

$$[\mathrm{Proj}(R^\Lambda)] \simeq V_{\mathbf{A}}(\Lambda) \text{ and } [\mathrm{Rep}(R^\Lambda)] \simeq V_{\mathbf{A}}(\Lambda)^\vee.$$

References

1. J. Brundan, A. Kleshchev, *Blocks of cyclotomic Hecke algebras and Khovanov-Lauda algebras*, Invent. Math. **178** (2009), 451–484.
2. ———, *Graded decomposition numbers for cyclotomic Hecke algebras*, Adv. Math. **222** (2009), 1883–1942.
3. J. Brundan, K. Stroppel, *Highest weight categories arising from Khovanov's diagram algebra III: category $\mathcal{O}$*, Represent. Theory **15** (2011), 170-243.
4. J. Chuang, R. Rouquier, *Derived equivalences for symmetric groups and $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-categorification*, Ann. Math. **167** (2008), 245–298.
5. J. Hong, S.-J. Kang, *Introduction to Quantum Groups and Crystal Bases*, Grad. Stud. Math. **42**, Amer. Math. Soc., 2002.
6. M. Kashiwara, *On crystal bases of the q-analogue of universal enveloping algebras*, Duke Math. J. **63** (1991), 465–516.
7. ———, *The crystal base and Littelmann's refined Demazure character formula*, Duke Math. J. **71** (1993), 839–858.
8. ———, *Global crystal bases of quantum groups*, Duke Math. J. **69** (1993), 455–485.
9. M. Kashiwara, T. Miwa, J. Petersen, C.-M. Yung, *Perfect crystals and q-deformed Fock spaces*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **2** (1996), 415–499.
10. M. Khovanov, A. Lauda, *A diagrammatic approach to categorification of quantum groups I*, Represent. Theory **13** (2009), 309–347.
11. ———, *A diagrammatic approach to categorification of quantum groups II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **363** (2011), 2685–2700.
12. A. Kleshchev, *Linear and Projective Representations of Symmetric Groups*, Cambridge Tracts in Math. **163**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005.
13. A. Lauda, M. Vazirani, *Crystals from categorified quantum groups*, arXiv:0909.181 (2009).
14. G. Lusztig, *Introduction to Quantum Groups*, Progress in Mathematics **110**, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1993.
15. R. Rouquier, *2-Kac-Moody algebras*, arXiv:0812.5023 (2008).
16. ———, *Quiver Hecke algebras and 2-Lie algebras*, preprint (2011).
17. M. Varagnolo, E. Vasserot, *Canonical bases and KLR algebras*, J. reine angew. Math. **659** (2011), 67–100.
18. B. Webster, *Knot invariants and higher dimensional representation theory I: diagrammatic and geometric categorification of tensor products*, arXiv:1001.2020 (2010).

Department of Mathematical Sciences and Research Institute of Mathematics, Seoul National University, 599 Gwanak-ro, Gwanak-gu, Seoul 151-747, Korea
E-mail address: `sjkang@snu.ac.kr`

Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan, and Department of Mathematical Sciences, Seoul National University, 599 Gwanak-ro, Seoul 151-747, Korea
E-mail address: `masaki@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp`